





PSYCHO-ANALYTICAL

THE INTERNATIONAL

LIBEARY EDITED BY EASIEST JONES No. at



AN AUTOBIOGRAPHICAL

STUDY







MANAGE SALAR JUNG BAHAD - 2

VALUE OF THE CHART OF THE CASE OF THE CASE

AN AUTOBIOGRAPHICAL STUDY

SIGMUND FREUD, M.D., LL.D.

IAMIS STRACKY



PARESSON BY LICENSID AND VIRGINIA WORLF AT THE SOCIATIO PRESS, IN TRANSPORT SQUARE, LICENSIN, AND THE PRINTING OF PRYCHIL-MALETING وويد أبيلنكلمز ساال

ele a tellel tr

CONTENTS

FRONTESION	•	-	•		Early	4
Translator's N	Overall					,
CHAPTER I.	•		-	•	٠	11
CRAPTER II		-	-	-		32
CHAPTER III	-		-	-		50
Crapton IV						75
CHAPTER V	٠		-	•	٠	27
Current VI						114
Postscamp (>93))					231



TRANSLATOR'S NOTE

Thus work apparent originally in upsy in volume to. of Dis Madiçà de Copmours in Salberdarsal-langer (Laping): Pella Malicia (a Copmours in Salberdarsal-langer (Laping): Pella Malicia (a) so del madinal profession designad (as in elde shows) so give a pinnum of the present ratte of mediate as revealed in the satisfactory profession of the present state of mediate as revealed in the satisfactory professional rather than the personal histories of the constitutives; and this limitation applies squally, of course, to the describingraphical Sandy which is reprinted in the following pages. It would indeed be move accessively described (if the word existed) as an 'asso-engagraphy'. The present tensolations was fire published in the

The present cancellation was first published in the United States in 1907 (New York: Berestand) traggether with another of Professor Freed's writings, The Problem of Lay-Analysis, regulated by smother hand. Both the outer cover and the title-page of that volume, which is now one of prim, bore only the citle of this latter work, so that the desabling regulated Sandy purlangs attentied lass attention than it deserted.

For this new edition the author has made a few

absentions and additions to the text and has added none footantes and a particular to cover the ten years that have passed since the book was written. The translation has also been covised. A few explementary footancies by the invalence see distingulated by square benefits.

j. s.

CHAPTER I

SINMAL of the contribution to this series of 'Autholographical Stadies' have begun by expressing their misipivings at the unusual difficulties of the task they have undertaken. The difficulties in my case me, I think, even greaters for I have already more than once published papers upon the estate lines as the present one, papers which, from the nature of the subject, have dealt more with personal considerations than is usual or then would otherwise have been encoursery.

I gave my first account of the development and subject-caseur of psycho-enalysis in five lectures which I delivered in 1909 before Clark University at Worcester, Mans., where I had been invited so attend the celebration of the twentieth anniversary of the foundation of that body. Only secently I gave way to the temporation of tending a contribution of a

³ The instance were fine published (in Rapital) in the American Journal of Psychology (equal); the original Garman was instant another the fifth of Unite Psychologymy (Viscon), 1910).

similar kind to an American collective publication dealing with the opening years of the twentieth century, since its editors had shown their recognition of the importance of psychoanalysis by allotting a special chapter to it. Between these two dates appeared a paper, 'On the History of the Psycho-Analytic Movement," which, ill fact, contains the essence of all that I can say on the present occasion. Since I must not contradict myself and since I have no wish so repeat myself exactly, I must endeavour to construct a narretive in which subjective and objective attitudes, biographical and biscorical interests. are combined in a new proportion.

I was born on May 6th, 1856, at Feelberg in Moravia, a small cown in what is now Czecho-Slovakia. My passess were Jews, and I have remained a Jew myself. I have reason to believe that my father's family were sented for a long time on the Rhine (at Cologne). that, as a result of a persecution of the Jews

¹ Then Everyld Fauer (How York, 1914). My copy, completed by Dr. A. A. Bell, from chapter health of the second volume. [The original German appears in vol. in. of Feered's Gasseworks Schriffen (Victoria, 1987).

Published in the July daily of Psychologist, vol. vi., 1974 [English translation in Family of Gillard Psycho, vol. vi.

during the fourteenth or fifteenth century, they fied eastwords, and that, in the course of the nineteenth century, they migrated back from Lithuania through Galicia into German Austria. When I was a child of four I came to Vienna, and I went through the whole of my aducation there. At the 'Gymnasium' I was at the top of my class for seven years; I enjoyed special privileges there, and was required to pass scarcely any examinations. Although we lived in very limited circumstances, my father insisted that, in my choice of a profession, I should follow my own inclinations. Neither at that time, nor indeed in my later life, did I feel any particular predilection for the career of a physician. I was moved, rather, by a sort of ourlocity, which was however, directed more towards human concerns than mwards natural objects; nor had I grouped the importance of observation as one of the best means of gratifying it. My early familiarity with the Bible story (at a time almost before I had learnt the art of reading) had, as I recognized much later, an enduring effect upon the direction of my interest. Under the powerful influence of a school friendship with a boy rather my senior who grew up to he a well-known politiciza. I developed a wish to study lew like him and to engage in social activities. At the same time, the theories of Darwin, which were then of topical interest, enrongly actraced the, for they held out hopes of an extraordinary advance in our understanding of the world; and it was hearing Goethe's heartful easy on Nature road aloud at a popular lecture by Professor Carl Stribl just before I left school that decided use to become a medical student.

that decided me to become a medical student. When, in 1973, I first joined the University, I experienced some appreciable disappointments. Above all, I found that I was expected to fuel myself inferior and an alien because I was a Jew. I refused absolutely to do the first of these things. I have never been able to see why I should feel ashamed of my discent or, as people were beginning to say, of my race. I put up, without much regret, with my nonacceptance into the community; for it seemed to me that in spite of this exclusion an active fellow-worker could not fail to find some nook or crancy in the framework of humanity. These first impressions at the University, however, had one consequence which was afterwards to prove important; for at an early age I was made familiar with the fate of being in the Opposition and of being put under the han of the 'compact majority'. The foundations were thus hid for a certain degree of independence of judgment.

I was compelled, moreover, during my first years at the University, so make the discovery that the peculiarisies and limitations of my gifu denied me all success III many of the departments of science into which my youthful sugarnoss had plunged me. Thus I learned the ruth of Mephistopheles' warning:

Vergabens, dass the stagens whosesdestilds advertit, Ein jeder term nur, was at torses hann."

At length, in Ernst Brücke's physiological laboratory, I from true and assistantion—and men, too, whom I could respect and take as my models: the green Brücke himself, and his setistants Sigmund Exner and Ernst von Fleischi-Marxow. With the last of these, a brilliant man, I was privileged to be upon terms of friendship. Brückengave use a problem to work out in the blacelogy of the nervous system; I succeeded in solving it to his satis-

¹ [N: in the old that you compressed from agings or stance; such man beam only what he can beam."—From, Part I.]

faction and in entrying the work further on my own account. I worked at this Institute, with short interruptions, from 1876 to 1882, and it was generally thought that I was marked out to fall the next post of Ausistant that might fall wasnot there. The various branches of medicine proper, apart from psychiatry, had no accasion for one, I was decidedly negligent to pursuing my medical studies, and it was not until 1884 that I took my somewhat belated degree as a Doctor of Medicine.

The turning-point came in 188a, when my teacher, for whose I felt the highest possible attem, corrected my father's generous improvidence by strongly advising me, in view of my had financial position, to abandon my theoretical career. I followed his advice, left the physiological laboratory and cuttend the General Hospital's as an 'Aspirant'. I was soon afterwards promoted to being a junior resident physician, and worked in various departments of the hospital, amongst others for more than aix mouths under Meymert, by whose work and personality I had been greatly struck while I was still a student.

[&]quot; [The pendpol Impiral in Years.]

In a certain some I nevertheless remained faithful to the line of work upon which I had originally started. The subject which Brücke had proposed for my investigations had been the spinal cord of one of the lowest of the fishes (Ammocrone Patronygon); and I now person on to the human central nervous system. Just at this time Flecheig's discoveries of the non-simultaneity of the formation of the meduliary shouths were durowing a revealing light upon the intricate course of its tracts. The fact that I began by choosing the medulla obloogaes as the one and only subject of my work was another sign of the continuity of my development. In complete contrast to the diffuse character of my studies during my earlier years at the University, I was now developing an inclination as concentrate my work exclusively upon a single subject of problem. This inclination has persisted and has since to my being accused of onesidedness

I now became as active a worker in the Institute of Cerebral Anatomy as I had previously been in the physiological one. Some short papers upon the course of the tracts and the nuclear origins in the medulls oblongate date from these hospital years, and my results were regularly noted down by Edinger. One day Meyoret, who had given me access to the laboratory even during the times when I was not actually working ander him, proposed that I should definitely devose swyself to the anatomy of the heain, and promised to hand over his lacturing work to me, as he felt he was too old to manage the newer methods. This I declined, in alarm at the magnitude of the task; it is possible, too, that I had guessed already that this greet men was by no means hindly disposed towards me.

From the practical point of view, hrain anatomy was certainly no better than physiology, and, with an eye to contectal considerations, I began to study nervous disease. There were, as that time, few specialism in that branch of medicine in Vienta, the material for its study was distainanted over a number of different departments of the hospital, there was no satisfactory opposituative of learning the subject, and one was forced to be one's own teacher. Even Nothnagel, who had been appointed a short time hefore, on account of his book upon creabral localization, did not single out nearcopathalogy from among the

other subdivisions of medicine. In the distance glimmered the great name of Charcot; so I formed a plan of first obtaining an appointrect as Lecture on Nervous Diseases in Vienna and of then going to Paris to continuamy studies.

In the course of the following years, while I continued to work as a junder physician, I published a coursier of dissical observations upon organic diseases of the nervous system. I gradually became favailiar with the ground; I was able to localize the size of a lesion in the medulia oblongars so accuracy that the psihological asseousts had no further information to sadd; I was the first person in Vienna to send a case for sunopsy with a diagnosts of polymentials area.

The time of my diagnoses and of their pass-nectors confirmation brought me an influx of American physicians, to whom I lectured upon the patients in my department in a nort of pidgin-English. I understood nothing about the neuroses. On one occasion I introduced to my audience a neurotic suffering from a persistent headarine as a case of chronic localized meningists; they quite rightly rose in revolt against me, and my patienture activities.

as a teacher came to an end. By way of encuse I may add that this happened at a time when greater authorities than myadf in Vienna were in the habit of diagnosing neucasthenia as cerebral tumous.

In the speing of 1885 I was appointed Lecturer on Neuropathology on the ground of my histological and elinical publications. Soon afterwards, so the result of a warm notimonial from Bracks, I was awarded a Travalling Fellowship of considerable value. In the autumn of the same year I made the journey to Paris.

I become a sundent at the Salpherière, but, as one of the zerowd of foreign visitors, I had little attention paid one to begin with. One day in my hearing Charcot expressed his regret that since the war be had heard nothing from the German translator of his lectures; he went on to say that he would be glad if someone would undertake to translate the new volume of his lectures into German. I wrote to him and offered to do so; I can still remember a plarace in the letter, to the effect that I suffered only from "Caphasic motive" and not from "Laphasic matrice" and not from "Laphasic metrics. Charcot accepted the offer, I was admitted to

the circle of his personal acquaintances, and from that time forward I took a full part & all that went oo at the Clinic.

As I write these lines, a number of papers and newspaper smitches lawe reached no from France, which give evidence of a violent objection to the acceptance of psycho-analysis, and which often make the most inscensus assertions in regard to my relations with the French school. I read, for instance, that I made use of my visit to Parie to familiarize myself with the chaories of Pierre Janz and then made off with my boory. I should therefore like to say explicitly that during the whole of my visit to the Sulpetriler Janze's name was never so much as mentioned.

mails off with say boory. I should therefore like to say explicitly that during the whole of my viait to the Salpheribre Janes's sume was never so much as mentioned.

What impressed me more of all while I was with Charcot were like lasest investigations upon hysteria, some of which were carried out under my own eyes. He land proved, for instance, the granulmense of hysterical phenomens and their conformity to laws ("intrains as his dis saws"), the frequent occurrence of hysteria in men, the production of hysterical paralyses and constructures by hypnotic suggestion and the fact that such artificial products showed, down to their smallest details,

the same features as apparational attacks, which were often brought on traumatically. Many of Charont's demonstrations began by provoking in me and in other visitors a sense of astonishment and an inclination to scepticium, which we tried to justify by an appeal to one of the theories of the day. He was

always friendly and petient in dealing with upon my swind.

such doubts, but he was also most decided; it was in one of these discussions that (speaking of theory) he remarked, "Co n'empliche par d'aniser, a mor which left an indelible mark No doubt the whole of what Charcon taught us at that time does not hold good to-day: some of it has become doubtful, some has definitely failed to withstand the test of time. But enough is left over and has found a permanent place in the storehouse of science. Before leaving Paris I discussed with the great man a plan for a comparative study of hysterical and organic paralyses. I wished to enablish the thesis that in hysteria paralyses and anaesthesias of the various parts of the body are democrated according to the popular idea of their limits and not according to anaronical facts. He agreed with this view.

hut it was easy to see that in reality he took no special interest in penetrating more deeply into the psychology of the neurone. When all is said and done, it was from pathological agastomy that his work had started.

Before I renumed to Victor I stopped for a few weeks in Berlin, in order to gain a little knowledge of the goneral disorders of childhood. Kassowitz, who was at the head of a public institute in Vienna for the treatment of children's diseases, had promised to put me in charge of a department for the nervous diseases of children. In Berlin I was given amistance and a friendly reception by Baginsky. In the course of the sent few years I published, from the Kamowka Institute, several motographs of considerable size on unitatural and bilateral cerebral paralyses in children. And for that reason, at a later date (in 1897), Nothnagel made me responsible for dealing with the same subject in his great Handbuch der allgemeinen und speziellen Therapric.

In the autumn of 1886 I settled down III Vienna as a physician, and married the girl who had been waiting for me in a distant city for more than four years. I may here go back

a little and captain how it was the fault of my figures that I was not already furnous at that early age. A side interest, though it was a door one, had led me in 1884 to obtain from Merck some of what was then the little-known alkalold cocsine and to study its physiological action. While I was in the middle of this work, an opportunity arose for making a journey to visit my francée, from whom I had been parted for two years. I hastily wound up my investigation of cocaine and contented myself in my book on the subject with prophesying that further uses for it would soon be found. I suggested, however, to my friend Königstein, the ophthalmologist, that he should investigate the question of how far the anaesthetizing properties of cocaine were applicable in diseases of the eye. When I returned from my holiday I found that not he, but another of my friends, Carl Koller (now in New York), whom I had also spoken to about occaine, had made the decisive experiments upon animals' even and had demonstrated them at the Ophthalmological Congress at Heidelberg, Koller

in therefore rightly regarded as the discoverer of local assenthesia by cocaine, which has become so important in minor surgery; but I have my famole so gradge for her interruption of my work.

I will now return to the year 1886, the time of my settling down in Vienna as a specialist in nervous diseases. The duty devolved upon me of giving a report before the 'Gesellschaft der Aerste' [Society of Medicine] upon what I had seen and learne with Charcot, But I met with a bad reception. Persons of authority. such as the chairman (Bemberger, the physician), declared that what I said was incredible. Meynert urged me to find some cases in Vienna similar to those which I had described and to present them before the Society. I tried to do so; but the senior physicians in whose departments I found any such cases refused to allow me to observe them or to work at them. One of them, an old surgeon, acqually broke out with the exclamation: But, my door sir, how can you talk such nonsenser Hyraron (sic) means the userus. So how can a man be hysterical? I objected in vain that what I wanted was not to have my diagnosis approved, but to have the case put at my dispossil. At length, ourside the hospital, I came upon a case of chanical hysterical hemiarausthesia III a man, and demonstrated III

before the 'Genellschaft der Aerate'. This time I was applauded, but no further inserest was taken in me. The impression that the high authorities had rejected my innovations remained unahaben; and, with my hysteria in men and my production of hysterical paralyses by suggestion, I found myself forced into the Opposition. As I was soon afterwards excluded from the laboratory of cerebral anactumy and for a whole session had nowhere to deliver my becares, I withdraw from academic life and ceased to assend the learned accletion. It is a whole generation since I have visited the 'Gentalschaft dar Aerase'.

Anyone who wanced to make a living from the transment of nervous patients must clearly be able to do something to help them. My thempeutic arsenal contained only two waspous, electrotherapy and hypnolists, for prescribing a visit to a hydropathic establishment after a single consultation was an inadequate source of income. My knowledge of electrotherapy was derived from W. Reb's text-book, which provided detailed instructions for the treatment of all the symptoms of nervous diseases. Unkelly I was soon driven to see that following these instructions was

of no help whatever and that what I had taken for an epitome of exact observations was merely the construction of phantasy. The realization that the work of the greatest name to German neuropsubology had no more relation to reality then some 'Egyptian' dreambook, such as is sold in cheep book-shops. was painful, but it helped to sid me of another shred of the bascome faith in authority from which I was not yet free. So I put my electrical apparatus aside, even before Möbius had solved the problem by explaining that the succauses of electric treatment in nervous disorders (in so far as there were any) were the effect of suggestion on the part of the physicien.

With hypotosism the case was besser. While I was still a sendent I had attended a public arhibition given by Hansen the 'magnetist', and had noticed that one of the persons superimented upon had become deathly pale at the cuset of catalopic rigidity and had remained so as long as that condition basted. This firmly convinced me of the genuineness of the phenomena of hypotosis. Scientific support was soon afterwards given to this view by Heidenbuing but that did not reutrain the pre-

fessors of psychistry from declacing for a long time to come that hypnorism was not only fraudulent but dangerous and from regarding hypnotists with contempt. In Paris I had geen hypnotism used freely as a method for producing symptoms in patients and then removing them again. And now the news reached us that a school had arisen at Nancy which made an extensive and remarkably successful use of suggestion, with or without hypnosis, for therapeutic purposes. It thus came about, as a matter of course, that in the first years of my activity as a physician my principal instrument of work, apart from haphazard and unsystematic psycho-therepoutle methods, was hypnotic suggestion.

methods, was hypnosic suggestion.

This implied, of course, that I abandoned the treatment of organic nervous disease; but that was of little importance. For on the one hand the prospects in the treatment of such disorders were in any case never promising, while on the other hand, in the private practice of a physician working ill a large town, the quantity of such patients was nothing compared to the crowde of neurotics, whose number seemed familier multiplied by the manner in which they hurried, with their

troubles unsalved, from one physician to another. And apart from this, there was something positively seductive in working with hypnotism. For the first time there was a sense of having overcome one's helplemness; and it was highly flattering to enjoy the reputation of being a miracle-worker. It was not until later that I was to discover the drawbacks of the procedure. At the moment there were only two points to complain of: first, that I could not succeed in hypnotising every patient, and secondly, that I was unable to put individual patients into as deep a state of hypnosis as I should have wished. With the idea of perfecting say hypnosic technique, I made a journey to Namey in the nameer of 1889 and spent several weeks there. I witnessed the moving specracle of old Liebault Working among the poor women and children of the labouring classes, I was a spectator of Bernbeim's assonishing experiments upon his hospital patients, and I received the profoundest impression of the possibility that there could be powerful mental processes which nevertheless remained hidden from the consciousness of men. Thinking it would be instructive. I had persuaded one of my patients

to follow me to Nancy. She was a very highly gifted hymeric, a woman of good hirth, who had been handed over to use because no one knew what to do with her. By hypnotic influence I had made it possible for her to lead a tolerable existence and I was always able to take her out of the misery of her condition. But she always relapsed again after a short time, and in my ignorance I attributed this to the fact that her hypecoic had never reached the stage of somnambolism with amnesis. Bernheim now anempted several times to hring this about, but he soo failed. He frankly admitted to me that his great therapeutic successes by means of suggestion were only achieved in his hospital practice and not with his private parients. I had many stimulating conversacions with him, and undertook to translate into German his two works upon turn entire and its therapeutic effects.

During the period from 1886 to 1891 I did little ocientific work, and published scarcely saything. I was occupied with enablishing royaelf in my new profession and with assuring my own material exhausted as well as that of a rapidly increasing family. In 1891 there appeared the limit of my studies upon the cerebral paralyses of children, which was written in collaboration with my friend and assistant, Dr. Oekar Rie. An invitation which I received in the same year to controlluse to an encyclopaedia of medicine led rue to investigate the theory of aphasia, which was at that time dominated by the views of Werricke and Lichtheim, which laid stress enclusively upon localisation. The fruit of this enquiry was a mail critical and speculative book, Zar-Auffarrang dw Aphasis. But I cust now show

how it happened that scientific research once more became the chief inserest of my life.

CHAPTER II

I arorr supplement what I have just said by explaining that from the very first I made use of hypnosis in another manner, apart from hypnotic suggestion. I used it for quastioning the patient upon the origin of his symptom, which in this waking sume he could often deserthe only very imperfectly or not at all. Not only did this method seem more effective than hald suggestive commands or prohibitions, but it also establed the curionity of the physician, who, after all, had a right to learn something of the origin of the phenomenon which he was striving to returne by the monomorous procedure of suggestion.

The reassair in which I arrived at chit other procedure was as follows. While I was still working in Brücke's laboratory I had made the acquaintance of Dr. Josef Breuer, who was one of the most respected family physicians in Vienna, but who also had a scientific past, since he had produced several works of permanent value upon the physiology of

breathing and upon the organ of equilibrium. He was a man of striking intelligence and fourteen years older than anyaelf. Our relations soon became more intinate and he became my friend and helper in my difficult circumstance. We grew accustomed to there all our scientific interests with each other. In this relationship the gain was naturally mine. The development of psycho-analysis afterwards cost me his friendship. It was not easy for me to pay such a psice, but I could not escape it.

Even before I went to Paris, Requer had told me about a case of hysteria which, between 1830 and 1833, he had recated in a peculiar meaner which had allowed him to penetrate deeply into the causation and significance of hysterianl symptoms. This was at a time, therefore, when Janet's works till belonged to the future. He repeatedly read me pieces of the case history, and I had an impression that it accomplished more towards an understanding of neuroses that any previous observation. I desermined to inform Charcot of these discoveries when I reached Paris, and I actually side so. But the great man showed in statement in my fact certifies of the

subject, so that I never returned to II and allowed it to pass from my mind.

When I was back in Viguna I turned once more to Brever's observation and made him tell me more about it. The patient had been a young girl of unusual education and gifts, who had fallen ill while she was nursing her father, of whom she was devotedly fond. When Breuer took over her case it presented a variagated picture of paralyses with contractures, inhibitions and states of mental confusion. A chance observation showed her physician that she could be relieved of these clouded states of consciousness if the was induced to express in words the affective phantasy by which she was at the moment dominated. From this discovery, Breuer arrived at a new method of treatment. He put her into deep hypnosis and made her tell him each time what is was that was oppressing her mind. After the attacks of depressive confusion had been overcome in this way, he employed the same procedure for removing her inhibitious and physical disorders. In her waking state the girl could no more describe than other patients how her symptoms had arises, and she could discover no link between

them and my experiences of her life. In hypnoxis the immediately revealed the missing connection. It named out that all her symptoms went back to moving events which she had experienced while nursing her father; that is to say, her symptoms had a meaning and were residues or reminiscences of those emotional situations. It turned out in most frateness that there had been some thought or impulse which she had had to suppress while she was by her father's sick-bed, and that, in place of it, as a substitute for it, the symptom had afterwards appeared. But as a rule the symptom was not the precipitate of a single such 'traumetic' scene, but the result of a minmaden of a number of similar signations. When the petient recalled a cituation of this kind in a hallocinatory way under hypnotis and carried through to its conclusion, with a free expression of emotion, the mental act which the had originally suppressed, the symptom was abolished and did not return. By this procedure Breuer succeeded, after long and painful efforts, in relieving his patient of all her TYMPTOMB.

The patient had recovered and had remained well and, in fact, had become capable

of doing serious work. But over the final stage of this hypnotic treatment these rested a veil of obscurity, which Brener never mised for me; and I could not understand why he had so long kept secret what seemed to me an invaluable discovery instead of making science the richer by it. The immediate question, however, was whether it was possible to generalize from what he had found in a single case. The state of things which he had discovered seemed to me to be of so fundamental a nature that I could not believe it could fail to be present in any case of hyeseria if it had been proved to occur in a single one. But the quenton could only be decided by experience. I therefore began to repeat Brever's investigations with my own persons and eventually, especially after my visit to Bernheim in 1889 had taught me the limitations of hypnotic saggestion, I worked at nothing else. After observing for several years that his findings were invariably confirmed in every case of hysteria that was accessible to such treatment, and after having accumulated a considerable amount of material in the shape of observations analogous to his, I proposed to him that we should have a joint publication. At first

he objected vehamently, but in the end he gave way, especially since, in the meanine, Janet's works had anticipated some of his results, such as the tracing back of hysterical symptoms to events in the patient's life, and their removal by means of hypnoxic reproduction is rester assemals. In 1895 we issued a preliminary paper, 'On the Psychical Machanism of Hypnoxical Phenomens',' and in 1895 there followed our book, Sendier there followed our book, Sendier the

If the account I have so far given has led the reader to expect that the Similar giber Hysteria must, in all the essentials of their manerial consent, be the product of Brenaria mind, that is precisely what I myself have always maintained and what it has been my aim to repeat here. As regards the sharp put forward in the book, I was partly responsible, but to an extent which it is to-day no longer possible to determine. That theory was in any case unspectations and hardly weat beyond the direct description of the observations. It did not seek to establish the nature of hysteria but merely to throw light upon the origin of its symptoms. Thus it laid stress upon the

³ (Freed, Collected Papers, vol. 1.)

significance of the life of the casotions and upon the importance of distinguishing betraven mental acts which are unconscious and those which we conscious (or rather expable of being conscious); it introduced a dynamic factor, by supposing that a symptom arises through the damming-up of an affect, and an economic factor, by regarding that same symptom as the product or equivalent of a quantity of energy which would otherwise have been employed in some other way. (This latter process was described as conversion.) Breuer spoke of our method as outharrie; its therapeutic sion was explained as being to provide that the accumulated affect used for maintaining the symptom, which had got on to the wrong lines end had, as it were, become stack there, should be directed on to the normal path along which it could obtain discharge (or advanceion). The practical results of the cathertic procedure were excellent. Its defects, which became evident later, were those of all forms of hypnotic treatment. There are still a number of psychotherapists who have not gone beyond catharsis as Breuer understood it and who still speak in its favour. Its value as an abridged method of treatment was shown afresh in the hands of Simmel in the treatment of war neuroses in the German army during the Great War. The theory of catharule had not smack to say on the subject of secondity. In the case histories which I contributed to the Stadios sexual factors played a certain part, but sexuely more attention was paid to them than to other emotional excitations. Becuer wrote of the girl, who has since became famous as his first partient, that her sexual side was extraordinarily undeveloped. If would have been difficult to guess from the Stadios dies Hysterie what an importance semiality has in the netiology of the neuroses.

The stage of development which now followed, the transition from enhancis to psychoanalysis proper, has been described by me several times already in such detail that I shall find it difficult to being forward any new facts. The event which formed the opening of this period was Benaer's retirement from our common work, so that I became the cole administrator of his legacy. There had been differences of opinion between us at quite an early stage, but they had not here a ground for our separating. In answering the question

of when it is that a mental process becomes pathogenic, that is, when it is that it becomes impossible for it to find a normal discharge, Breuer preferred what might be called a physiological theory: he thought that the procourses which could not find a normal outcome were such as had originated during unusual, "hypnoid', mental states. This opened the further question of the origin of these hypnoid states. I, on the other hand, was inclined to suspect the existence of an insemplay of forces and the operation of intentions and purposes much as are to be observed in normal life. Thus it was a case of 'Hypnoid Hymeria' versus 'Defence Neurosis'. But useh differences as chis would scarcely have alienated him from the subject if there had not been other factors at work. One of those was undoubtedly that his work as a physician and family doctor took no much of his time, and that he could not, like me, devote his whole strength to the work of catharsis. Again, he was affected by the reception which our book had received both in Vienna and in Germany. His self-confidence and powers of resistance were not developed so fully as the rest of his mental organization. When, for instance, the

Studies that with a severe rebuilt from Strümpell, I was able to laugh at the fack of comprehension which his criticism showed, but Breuser felt hart and grew discouraged. But what contributed chiefly to his decision was that my own further work led in a direction to which he found it impossible to reconclehimself.

The theory which we had attempted to construct in the Studies remained, as I have said, very incomplete; and in particular we had scarcely touched upon the problem of actiology, upon the question of the ground in which the pathogenic process takes root. I now learned from my rapidly increasing experience that it was not say kind of emotional excitation that was in action behind the phenomena of the neurosis but habitually one of a sexual manure, whether it was a current sexual conflict or the effect of earlier sexual experiences. I was not prepared for this conchadon and my expectations played no part in it, for I had begun my investigation of neurotics quite unsuspectingly. While I was writing my History of the Psycho-Analysic Movement' in 1914, there recurred to my mind some remarks that had been made to me by Breuer, Charcot, and Chrobak, which might have led me to this discovery earlier. But at the time I heard them I did not understand what these authorities messus; hadeed they had told me more than they knew shemselves or were prepared to defend. What I heard from then hay domeste and passive within me, until the charce of my cathantic experiments brought it out as an apparently original discovery. Nor was I then aware that in deriving hysteria from senuality I was going back to the very beginnings of medicine and following up a thought of Pisto's. It was not until later that I learnt this from a emay by Havelock Ellis.

Under the influence of any surprising discovery, I now sook a mornemous step. I went beyond the domain of hysteria and began to investigate the sexual life of the so-called neurathetics who used to whit use in numbers during any consultation hours. This experiment cost me, if is true, my popularity as a doctor, but II brought the convictious which to-day, almost thirty years later, have lost mone of their force. There was a great deal of equivocation and supersey-making to be overcome, but, once that had been doze, it turned out that in all of these patients grave abuses

of the sexual function were present. Considering how extremely widespread are these abuses on the one hand and neurosthenia on the other, a frequent coincidence between the two would not have proved much; but there was more in # than that one hald fact. Closer observation suggested to me that it was postthie to pick out from the confused jumble of clinical pictures covered by the name of neuresthenia two fundamentally different types, which might appear in any degree of mixture but which were goversheless to be observed in their pure forms. In the one type the central phenomenon was the analety attack with its equivalents, radimentary forms and chronic surrogate symptoms; I consequency gave it the name of auxiety activois, and limited the term approximate to the other type. Now it was easy to establish the fact that such of these types had a different abnormality of sexual life as its corresponding actiological factor; in the former, coins interrupted, undischarged excitement and sexual abstinence, and I the latter, expensive masturbation and tuo nutnerous nocturnal emissions. In a few specially instructive cases, which had shown a surprising alteration in the clinical picture from one type to the other, it was possible to prove that there had been a corresponding change in the underlying sexual régime. If it was possible to put an end to the abuse and allow its place to be taken by normal sexual activity, a striking improvement in the con-

dition was the seamed. I was thus led into regarding the neuroses as being without exception disturbances of the sexual function, the so-called 'sexual' necessar being the direct sords expression of such disturbances and the psycho-naurouse their mental expression. My medical conscience felt pleased at my having arrived at this conclusion. I hoped that I had filled up a gup in medical science, which, in dealing with a function of such great biological importance, had failed to take into account any injuries beyond those caused by infection or by grou anatomical lesions. The modical aspect of the matter was, moreover, supported by the fact that sexuality was not something purely mental. It had a sometic side as well, and it was possible to assign special chemical procence to it and to attribute sexual excitement to the presence of some particular, though at present unknown, substances. There must

also have been some good reason why the true spontaneous neurones resembled no group of diseases more closely than the phenomena of intoxication and abstinence, which are produced by the administration or privation of certain mode substances, or thus exophthalmic goitte, which is known to depend upon the product of the thyrold gland. Since that time I have had no opportunity of returning to the investigation of the 'actual' neuroses; nor has this part of my work been continued by anyone else. If I look back to-day at my early findings, they strike me as being the first rough outlines of what is probably a far more complicated subject. But on the whole they seem to me still to hold good. I should have been very glad if I had been able, later on, to make a psycho-analytic exantination of some more cases of simple juvetile neurarthenia, but unluckly the occasion did not arise. To avoid misconceptions, I should like to make it clear that I am far from denying the existence of mental conflicts and of neurotic complexes in neurosthenia. All that I am asserting is that the symptoms of these patients are not mentally determined

or removable by analysis, but that they must

he regarded as direct made consequences of disturbed sexual chemical processes.

During the years that followed the publication of the Studies, having reached these conchanions upon the part played by sexuality in the aethology of the neurones, I read some papers on the subject before various medical societies, but was only met with incredulity and contradiction. Beener did what he could for some time longer to throw the great weight of his personal influence into the scales in my favour, but he effected nothing and It was easy to see that he too shrank from recognizing the sental actiology of the neuroses. He might have crushed me or ar least disconcerted me by pointing to his own first petient, in whose case semal factors had osteralbly played no part wherever. But he never did so, and I could not understand why this was until I came to interpret the case correctly and to reconstruct, from some remarks which he had made, the conclusion of his treatment of it. After the work of catherals had seemed to be completed, the girl had suddenly developed a condition of 'transference love'; he had not connected this with her Hinem, and had therefore retired in dismay. It was obviously painful to him to be reminded of this apparent contravays. His antimite towards me occillated for some time between appreciation and bitter criticism; then accidental difficulties sense, as they never fail to do in a strained situation, and we partied.

Another result of my taking up the study of survous disorders in general was that I altered the technique of cutuesis. I abandoned hypnotism and sought to replace it by some other method, because I was anxious not to be restricted to treeting hysteriform conditions. Increasing experience had also given rise to two grave doubts in my mind as to the use of hypnotism even as a means to cathards. The first was that even the most brilliant results were liable to be suddenly wiped away if my personal relation with the patient became disturbed. It was true that they would be re-established if a reconciliation could be effected; but such an occurrence provid that the personal emotional relation between doctor and patient was after all stronger than the whole cathertic process, and it was precisely that factor which escaped every effort at control. And one day I had an experience which showed me in the crudest light what I

had long suspected. One of my most sequiescent patients, with whom hypnostem had enabled one to bring about the most marvellous results, and whom I was engaged in relewing of her suffering by tracing back her attacks of pain to their origins, as the woke up on one occasion, threw her arms round my nack. The unexpected entenore of a servant

relieved us from a painful discussion, but from that time cowards there was a tack understanding between us that the bypnotic treatment should be discontinued. I was mod-

astenough not to estribute the event to my own irrestatible personal attraction, and I felt that I had now grasped the nature of the mysterious element that was at work behind hypnorium. In order to exclude it, or at all events to itolate it, it was necessary to abundon hypnorium. But hypnorium abundon hypnorium, but hypnorium and been of immense halp in the cathartic treatment, by widening the field of the patient's consciousness and purting within his reach knowledge which he did not possess in his waking life. It seemed no

easy task to find a substitute for it. While I was in this peoplestry there cause to my help the recollection of an experiment which I had often wintened while I was with Bernheim.

When the subject awoke from the state of somnambulism, he accound to have lost all memory of what had kappened while he was In that state. But Bernheim maintained that the memory was present all the same; and if he insisted on the subject remembering, if he asseverated that the subject knew it all and had only to say it, and if at the same time he laid his hand on the subject's forehead, then the forgotten memories used in fact to return, healtatingly at first, but eventually in a flood and with complete clarity. I determined that I would set in the same way. My patients, I reflected, must in fact 'know' all the things which had hitherto only been made accessible to them in hypnosis; and assurances and encouragement on my part, assisted perhaps by the touch of my hand, would, I thought, have the power of forcing the forgotten facts and connections into consciousness. No doubt this seemed a more laborious process than putting them into hypnonis, but it saight prove highly instructive. So I abandoned hypnotism, only retaining my practice of requiring the patient to be upon a soft while I sat behind him, seeing him, but not seen rayself,

CHAPTER III

Mr expectations were fulfilled; I was set free from hypanniam. But along with the change in technique the process of cedestries took on a new complexion. Hypanesis had acreened from view an interplay of forces which now came in alght and the understanding of which gave a solid foundation to my theory.

How had it come about that the patients had forgotten so many of the facts of their external and internal lives but could nevertheless recollect them if a particular technique was applied? Observation supplied an exhanative massure to these queetions. Everything that had been forgotten had in stone way or other been painful; it had been either alarating or disagreeable or shomeful by the standards of the subject's personality. The thought arose spontaneously: that was precisely why it had been forgotten, i.e. why it had not remained conscious. In order to make it conscious again in spite of this, it was necessary to overcome connecting that fought against one in the

patient; it was necessary to make an expenditure off effort on one's own part in order to compel and subdue it. The amount of effort required of the physician varied in different cases; it increased in direct proportion to the difficulty of what had to be remembered. The expenditure of force on the part of the physician was evidently the measure of a resease, on the part of the petient. It was only necessary to trustains into words what I myself had observed, and I was in possession of the

theory of repression.

It was now easy to reconstruct the pathogenin process, Lerus keep to a simple example, in which a particular limpulsion had arisen in the subject's mind but was opposed by other powerful tendencies. We should have expected the mental english which now arose to take the following course. The two dynamic quantities—for our present purposes let us call them 'the instinct' and 'the restaurach-would struggle with each other for some time in the fullest light of consciousness, until the instinct was repudiated and the charge' of 'The Germa wall factors, large tendaria charact.

² [The German word Ranging, here translated charge, in applied by French to the man of emergy which he reproses to become synchrol (assessment upon the analogy of me electric charge) to meant impairing whicher considerate or

every withdrawn from it. This would have been the normal solution. In a networks, however (for reasons which were still unknown), the conflict found a different outcome. The ego drew hack, as it were, after the first shock of its conflict with the objectionable impulse: it debarred the impulse from access to consciousness and to direct motor discharge, but at the same time the impulse retained its full charge of energy. I named this process repractice; it was a noveley, and nothing like it had ever before been recognized in mental life. It was obviously a primary mechanism of defence, comparable to an enemot at flight. and was only a forerunner of the laterdeveloped normal condemning judgment. The first act of repression involved further consequences. In the first place the ego was obliged to protect itself against the constant threat of a renewed advance on the part of the tenressed impulse by making a permanent expenditure of energy, a counter-charge or anti-carbanis, and it thus impoverished itself. On the other hand, the repressed impulse,

uncounteres, when they are in a smalleton of activity. The recognition Registre included translation of the word to corbonic—Translation which was now assesseious, was able to find means of chickarge and of substitutive gratification by circuitous routes and thus to bring the whole purpose of the represent to nothing. In the case of conversion-bystecla the circuitous route led to the nerve supply of the body; the represend impulse broke its way through at some point or other and produced symptoms. The symptoms were thus results of a compromise, for although they were substitutive gratifications they were nevertheless distorted and deflected from their aim owing to the resistance of the ego.

The theory of repression became the foundation-scone of our understanding of the neuroses. A different view had now to be taken of the task of therapy. Its aim was no longer to 'abseact' as affect which had got on to the wrong lines but to uncover repressions and replace them by acts of judgment which might result either in the suoperance or in the rejection of what had formerly been repudiated. I showed my recognition of the new situation by no longer calling my method of investigation and treatment cashasels but psycho-analysis.

It is possible to take repression as a centre

and to bring all the elements of psychoanalytical theory into relation with it. But before doing so I have a further remark of a polemical nature to make. According to Janet's view a hysterical woman was a wreithed creature who, on account of a constitutional weakness, was mubble to hold her mental acts together, and it was for that reason that she fell a victim to mental dissociation and to a restriction of the field of her consciousness. The results of psychoanalytical investigations, on the other hand, showed that these phenomens were the result of dynamic factors—of spencal conflict and of repression. This distinction seems to me to be far-reaching enough to put an end to the glib repedition of the view that whatever is of value in psycho-analysis is sucrely borrowed from the ideas of lanes. The reader will have learned from my account that historically psycho-analysis is completely independent of aner's discoveries, just as in its content it diverges from them and goes far beyond them. Janes's works would never have had the implications which have made psychoanalysis of such importance to the mental sciences and have made it attract such universal interest. I always treated Jaset himself with respect, sluce his discoveries coincided to a considerable estant with done of Resuer, which had been made earlier but were published later than his. But when in the course of time psycho-analysis became a subject of discussion in France, Janet behaved ill, showed ignorance of the fixes and used ugly arguments. And finally he revealed himself to my eyes and destroyed the value of his own work by declaring that when he had spoken of 'uncouncious' mental acts he had mann nothing by the phrase—it had been an more than a fapon de parile.

than a force de parier.

But the study of pathogenic supressions and of other phenomena which have still to be mentioned corapelled psycho-analysis to take the concept of the 'unconscious' seriously. Psycho-analysis regarded everything mental as being in the first instance unconscious; the further quality of 'councloustees' might also be present, or again it might be absent. This of course provoked a denial from the philosophers, for whom 'counclous' and 'mental' were identical, and who protested that they could not conserve of such a uncertrosity as the 'unconscious mental'. These was no help

for it, however, and this idiosyncrasy of the philosophers could only be dienegarded with a shrug. Experience (gained from pathological material, of which the philosophers were ignorant) of the frequency and power of impulses of which one knew nothing directly and whose enkneace had to be inferred like some fact in the external world, left no alternative open. It could be pointed out, incldentally, that this was only treating one's own mental life as one had always treated other people's. One did not beginse to ascribe mental processes to other people, although one had no formediate consciousness of them and could only infer them from their words and actions. But what held good for other people must be applicable to oncielf. Anyone who gried to such the argument further and to conclude from it that one's own hidden processes belonged actually to a second resactionment would be faced with the concept of a consciousness of which one knew nothing, of an 'unconscious consciousness'-and this would scarcely be preferable to the assumption of an 'unconscious mental'. If, on the other hand, one declared, like some other philosophers, that one was prepared to take

pathological phenomena into account, but that the processes underlying them ought not to be described as mental but as 'psychold', the difference of opinion would degenerate into an unfruitful dispute about words, though even to expediency would decide in favour of keeping the expression 'unconscious mental'. The further question as to the ultimate nature of this unconscious is no where or more proficialle than the older one as to the matter of the conscious.

It would be more difficult to explain concinely how it came about that psycho-analysis made a further distinction in the unconscious, and senamed it into a precession and at unconscious proper. E will be sufficient to say that E appeared a legislesse course to supplement the theories which were a direct expension of experience by hypotheses which were designed to facilitate the handling of the material and related to matters which could not be a subject of immediate observation. The very same procedure is adopted by the older sciences. The subdivision of the unconscious is part of an attempt to ylcture the apparatus of the mind as being built up of a number of functional systems where inter-

relations may be expressed in spatial terms, without reference, of course, so the actual reasons of the besits. (I have described this as the inaggraphical method of approach.) Such ideas as these are part of a specularive superstructure of psycho-malysis, my portion of which can be abandoned or changed without loss or regret the sooment in inadequary has been proved. But there ill utiliplenty to be described that lies closer to artual experience.

I have already mentioned that my investigation of the precipitating and underlying causes of the neuroses led me more and more frequency to conflicts between the subject's sexual impulses and his repletances to sexuality. In my search for the perhogenic situations in which the repressions of sensality had set in and in which the symptoms, as substitutes for what was repressed, had had their origin, I was carried further and further back into the patient's life and caded by reaching the first years of his childhood. What poets and students of human nature had always asserted turned out to be true: the impressions of that remote period of life, though they were for the most part buried in summia, left ineradicable traces upon the individual's growth and in particular laid the foundations of any nervous discorder that was so follow. But since these experiences of childhood were always

concerned with sexual excitations and the reaction against them, I found myself faced by the fact of infantile semulity-once again a novelty and a contradiction of one of the strongest of buman prejudices. Childhood was looked upon as "innocent" and free from the lusts of sex, and the fight with the demon of 'sensuality' was not chought to begin until the troubled age of puberty. Such occasional sexual activities as it had been impossible to overlook in children were put down as right of degeneracy and premature dependity or as a curious freak of nature. Few of the findings of psycho-analysis have met with such aniversal contradiction or have aroused such an outburst of indignation as the assertion that the sexual function starts at the beginning of

finding of stalvals can be demonstrated so easily and so completely. Before going further into the question of infantile semality I must mention an error

life and reveals its presence by important nigna even in childhood. And yet no other

into which I fell for a while and which might well have had fatal consequences for the whole of my work. Under the passage of the technical procedure which I used at that time, the majority of my patients reproduced from their childhood scenes in which they were sexually seduced by some grown-up person. With female petients the pers of seducer was almost always satigned to their father. I believed these stories, and consequently supposed that I had discovered the roots of the subsequent neurosis in these experiences of sexual seduction in childhood. My confidence was strengthened by a few cases in which relations of this kind with a father, uncle, or elder brother had continued up to an age at which memory was so be trusted. If the reader feels inclined m shake his bead at my credulity. I cannot altogether blame him; though I may plead that this was at a time when I was intentionally keeping my critical faculty in abeyance so as to preserve an unprejudiced and receptive attitude towards the many novelties which were coming to my notice every day. When, however, I was at last obliged to recognize that these ecenes of seduction had sever taken place, and that they

were only phontonics which my patients had made up or which I myself had perhaps forced upon them, I was for some time completely at a loss. My confidence stille to my technique and in its results suffered a severe blow; it could not be disputed that I had arrived at these scenes by a technical method which I considered correct, and their subject-matter was unquestionably related to the symptoms from which my investigation had started. When I had pulled myself together, I was able to draw the right conclusions from my discovery: namely, that the neurotic symptoms were not related directly so actual events but to phantasies embodying wishes, and that as far as the neurosis was concerned psychical reality was of more importance than material roulity. I do not believe even now that I forced the seduction-phantages upon my patients, that I 'suggested' them. I had in fact stambled for the first time upon the Online complex, which was later to assume such an overwhelming importance, but which I did not recognize as yet in its diagnise of phantasy. Moreover, seduction during childhood retained a certain share, though a humbler one, in the actiology of neuroses. But the seducers turned out as a rule to have heen older children.

It will be seen, then, that my mistake was of the same hind as would be made by someone who helieved that the legendary story of the early kings of Rome (as told by Livy) was historical truth instead of what it is in fact—a reaction against the memory of times and circumstances that were insignificant and coessionally, perhaps, inglocious. When the missake had been cleared up, the path to the study of the semal life of children lay open. It thus because possible to apply psychoanalysis to smother field of science and to tuse lat data as a means of discovering a new plece of hiological incowledge.

The sexual function, as I found, is in exlumnce from the very beginning of the individual's life, though at first it is assimilated to the other viral functions and does not become independent of them until later; it has to pass through a long and complicated process of development before it becomes what we are familiar with as the normal sexual life of the adult. It begins by anonifesting itself in the activity of a whole number of component intimets. These are dependent upon emogratic

your in the body; some of them make their appearance in puins of opposite impulses (such as sadism and masochism or the impulses to look and to be looked at); they operate independently of one another in their search for pleasure, and they find their object for the most part in the subject's own body. Thus to begin with they are non-consultated and predominantly same-erosic. Later they begin to be co-ordinated; a first stage of organization is reached under the dominance of the area compopents, an engl-redirac stage follows, and it n only after the third stage has at last been reached that the primacy of the gentials is established and that the sexual function begins to serve the ends of reproduction. In the course of this process of development a trumber of elements of the various component instincts turn out to be unserviousle for this last end and are therefore left on one side or turned to other uses, while others are diverted from their aims and carried over into the genital organization. I gave the name of tibido to the energy of the sexual instincts and to that form of energy akme. I was next driven to suppose that the libido does not always pass through its prescribed course of development smoothly. As a result either of the excessive strength of certain of the components or of experiences involving parameters gratification, featisms of the libido may occur at various points in the course of its development. If subsequently a repression takes place, the libido flows hack to those points (a process described as regression), and it is from them that the energy breaks through in the form of a symptom. Laser on it further became clear that the localization of the point of firstion is what determines the choice of neurosis, that is, the form in which the subsequent illness makes ill appearance.

The process of arriving at an object, which plays such an important part in mental life, takes place alongside of the organization of the libido. After the stage of esso-arosion, the first love-object in the case of both sexes in the mother; and it seems probable that to begin with the child does not distinguish its mother's organ of matrition from its own body. Later, but still in the first years of infancy, the relation known as the Oedipus complex becomes established; boys concentrate their sexual wishes upon their monder and develop hostile impulses against their father as

being a rival, while girls adopt an analogous attitude.1 All of the different variations and consequences of the Oedipus complex are important; and in particular the innately biserua! constitution of human beings makes itself felt and increases the number of simultaneously active tendencies. Children do not become clear for quite a long time upon the differences between the sexes; and during this period of sexual enquiry they produce typical sexual shories which, since they are limited by the incompleteness of their authors' own physical development, see a mixture of truth and error and fail to solve the problems of sexual life (the riddle of the Sphins—the quention of where babies some from). We see, then, that a child's first object-choice is an incormour one.

¹ (Additionis note, 1995.) The informanties about Infinities summitive we obtained from the tendry of uses and the tentry induced from it was conceived with scale children. It was noticed around to expect to find a complete penaltic herwist date two sense; but this twood out not to hold. Further averaging to and reflections revealed profound difference between the sense is development of men and women. The first month object of a holy gift (gast as of a holy hoy) is har another; and hafters a women can much the and of her neveral development she has no change out only her sexual object but take her preference and premise sense difficulture rafts; and spendinger sense difficulture rafts; and spendinger of inhibition, which are not present in the case of men.

The whole course of development that I have described is run through rapidly. For the most remarkable france of the sexual life of man is that it comes on in two waves, with an interval between them. It reaches a first maximum in the fourth or fifth year of a child's life. But this early growth of sexuality is nipped in the bud; the sexual impulses which have shown such liveliness are overcome by repression, and a period of leasely follows, which lasts until puberty and during which the reaction-formations of morality, shame, and diaguat are built up. | # all living creatures man alone seems to show this double onset of sexual growth, and II may perhaps he the biological deserminant of his predisposition to neuroses. At puberty the impulses and object-relations of a child's early years become re-assimated, and amongst them the amotional ties of his Oedipus complex. The sexual life of puberty is a struggle between the impulses of early years and the inhibitions

¹ (Additional sums, 1955.) The period of issuecy to a physiological phenomenon. It can, however, only give rise to a complete interruption of entant life in colorard organisations which have stands the neglectation of advantae sexuality a part of dark system. This is not the case while the neglectay of princial two pushes.

of the latency period. Before this, and while the child is at the highest point of its infantile sexual development, a gravital organization of a port is established; but only the male genitals play a part in it, and the female ones remain undiscovered. (I have described this as the period of phalife primacy.) At this stage the contrast between the score is not stated in terms of 'main' or 'female' but of 'possessing a penis' or 'cestrated'. The extration complex which arises in this connection is of the profoundatt importance in the fermation alike of characters and of neuroses.

In order to make this condensed account of my discoveries upon the sexual life of man more intelligible. I have brought cogether conclusions which I reached at different dams and incorporated by way of supplement or correction in the successive editions of my These Concribations as the Theory of Sexuality. I hope it will have been easy to gather the nature of my extension (on which so much stress has been hald and which has excited so much opposition) of the concept of sexuality. That extension is of a twofold kind. In the

³ [First Common obline, make the title of Dut Allandinger per Samulahoris, Visuo, 1907.]

first place sexuality is divorced from its too close connection with the gradule and is regarded as a more comprehensive bodily function, having pleasure as its goal and only secondarily coming to serve the ends of reproduction. In the second place the sexual impulses are regarded as including all of those merely affectionage and friendly impulses to which users applies the exceedingly ambiguous word love. I do not, however, consider

which we had allowed ourselves to be led.

that these extensions are innovations but rather restorations: they signify the removal of inexpedient limitations of the concept into

The detecting of sexuality from the genitals has the advantage of allowing us to bring the sexual activities of children and of perverts Into the same scope as those of normal adults. The former have hitherto been entirely neglected and though the latter have been recognized it has been with moral indignation and without understanding. Looked at from the psycho-analytic standpoint, even the most eccentric and repellent perversions are explicable as manifestations of component instincts of sexuality which have freed themselves from the primary of the genitals and are going in

pursuit of pleasure on their own account as they did in the wary early days of the libido's development. The most important of these perversions, homosexusity, scarcely deserves the name. It can be traced back to the constitutional bisemasity of all human beings and to the after-effects of the phallic primacy. Psycho-analysis enables us to point to some trace or other of a homosexual object-choice in averyone. If I have described children as 'polymorphousty perverse', I was only using a terminology that was generally current; no moral judgment was implied by the phrase. Psycho-analysis has no concern winetever with

such judgments of value.

The second of my alleged extensions of the concept of semality finds its justification in the fact revealed by psycho-analytic investigation that all of these affectionate impulses were originally of a completely sexual nature but have become inhibited in choir oim or sublimated. The manner in which the sexual instincts can thus be influenced and diverted enables them to be employed for cultural activities of every kind, to which indeed they bring the most important contributions.

My supprising discoveries as us the sexual-

ity of children were made in the first instance through the analysis of adults. But later (from about 1908 onwards) it became possible to confirm them in the most assistancey way and in every detail by direct observations upon children. Indeed, it is so easy to convince oneself of the regular sensual activities of children that one cannot help asking in autonishment how the human race can have succeeded in overhooking the facts and in maintaining for so long the agreeable legand of the aseruality of childhood. This surprising chromatames must be connected with the amagnity of adults, with the amagnity of adults,

hides their own infancy.

CHAPTER IV

The theories of resistance and of repression, of the unconscious, of the actiological significance di semal life and of the importance of infantile experiences—these form the principal constituents of the theoretical structure of psycho-analysis. In these pages, unfortunately, I have been able to describe only the separate elements and not their interconnections and their bearing upon one another. But I am obliged now to turn to the alternations which gradually wook place in the sechnique of the analytic method.

The means which I first adopted for overcoming the patient's resistance, by presting and encouraging him, had been indispensable for the purpose of giving use a first general turvey of what was to be expected. But in the long run it proved to be too much of a strain upon both sides, and further it seemed open to certain obvious criticisms. It therefore gave place to another method which was in one sense sopposite. Instead of urging the

2

petient to say something upon some particular subject, I now maked him to shandon binuelf to a process of frue musciasion, i.e. to say whatever came into his head, while cousing to give any conscious direction to his thoughts, It was essential, however, that he should hind himself to report literally everything that occurred to his self-perception and not to give way to critical objections which sought to put carrain associations on one side on the ground that they were not sufficiently important or that they were irrelevant or that they were altogether meaningless. There was no necess-Ity to repeat explicitly the insistence upon the need for candour on the petient's part in reporting his thoughts, for it was the precondition of the whole analytic treatment,

condition of the whole analytic treatment. It may seem surprising that this method of free association, carried our subject to the observation of the fundamental rate of psychostalytis, should have achieved what was expected of is, manuely the bringing into consciousness of the represend material which was held back by resistances. We must, however, bear in attiod that free association II not really free. The patient remains utder the influence of the analytic situation even though

he is not directing his mental activities on to a particular subject. We shall be justified in assuming that nothing will occur to him that has not some reference to that situation. His resistance against reproducing the repressed material will now be expressed in two ways. Firstly it will be shown by critical objections: and it was to deal with these that the fundamental rule of psycho-analysis was invented. But if the patient observes that rule and so overcomes his reticences, the resistance will find another means of expression. It will so arrange it that the repressed material Itself will never occur to the patient but only something which approximates to it in an allusive way; and the gresser the resistance, the more remore will be the substitutive association which the periest has to report from the actual idea that the analyst is in search of. The analyst, who listens composedly but without any conattrained effort to the stream of associations and who, from his experience, has a general notion of what to expect, can make use of the material brought to light by the patient according to two possibilities. If the resistance is slight he will be able from the patient's allusions to infer the unconscious material

itself; or if the resistance is stronger he will be able to recognize its character from the associations, as they seem to become more remote from the subject, and will explain it to the patient. Uncovering the resistance, however, is the first step towards overcoming it. Thus the work of analysis itsvolves an art of insureression, the successful handling of which may require test and practice but which is not hard to acquire. But it is not only in the saving of labour that the method of free association has an advantage over the earlier method. It exposes the petient to the least possible amount of compulsion, it never allows of connect being lost with the acrual current situacion, it guarantees so a great extent that no factor in the structure of the neuronic will be overlooked and that nothing will be introduced into it by the expectations of the actalyst. It is left to the patient in all essentials to determine the course of the analysis and the arrangement of the material; any systematic handling of particular symptoms or complexes thus becomes impossible. In complete contrast to what happened with hypnotism and with the unging method, interrelated material makes its appearance at different

times and at different points in the treatment. To a spectator, therefore—though III fact there can be note—in analytic treatment would seem completely obscure.

Another advantage of the method is that it need never break down. If must theoretically always be possible to have an association, provided that no conditions are made as to its character. Yet there is one case in which in fact a breakdown occurs with absolute regularity; from its very uniqueness, lawwere,

this case too can be incorpreted.

I now come to the description of a factor which adds an essential feature to my picture of analysis and which can claim, alike technically and theoretically, so be regarded as of the first importance. In every analysis treatment there arises, without the physician's agency, an interess enocional relationship between the patient and the analyst which is not to be accounted for by the actual situation. It can be of a positive or of a negative character and can vary between the entretase of a passionate, completely sessual love and the unbridled expression of an embittered definance and hatted. This manufactore—to give it its shortened name—soom replaces if the

patient's mind the desire to be cured, and, so long as it is affectionate and exoderate, becomes the agent of the physician's influence and neither more nor less than the mainspring of the joint work of analysis. Later on, when it has become possionate or has been converted into bostility, it becomes the principal tool of the resistance. It may then happen that It will paralyse the pagent's powers of amocisting and endanger the success of the trestment. Yet it would be senseless to try to evade lty for an enalysis without transference is an impossibility. It must not be supposed, however, that transference is crossed by analysis and does not occur spart from it. Transference is merely uncovered and isolated by analysis. It is a universal phenomenon of the human mind, it decides the success of all medical influence, and in fact dominates the whole of each person's relations to his lumum environment. We can easily recognize it as the same dynamic factor that the hypnotists have named 'suggestibility', which is the agent of hypnotic report and whose incalculable behaviour led to such difficulties with the cathartic method. When there is no inclination to a transference of emotion such as this,

or when it has become emirely negative, as happens in dementia pracous or paramota, then there is also no possibility of influencing the patient by psychological means.

It is perfectly true that psycho-analysis, like other psycho-therapeutic methods, employs the instrument of suggestion (or transference). But the difference is this: that in unalysis it is not allowed to play the decisive part in determining the therapeutic results. It is used instead to induce the petient to perform a place of mental work-the overcoming of his transference-registances-which involves permanent abserseion in his mental economy. The transference is made conscious to the patient by the snalyst, and it is resolved by convincing him that in his transference-attitude he is re-experiencing emotional relations which had their origin in his carliest objectattachments during the repressed period of his childhood. In this way the transference is changed from the strongest weapon of the resistance into the best instrument of the analytic treatment. Nevertheless its handling remains the most difficult as well as the most important part of the technique of analysis.

With the help of the method of free

association and of the closely related art of interpretation, psycho-analysis succeeded in achieving one thing which appeared to be of no practical importance but which in fact necessarily led to a fresh artifued and a fresh scale of values in admittic thought. It became possible to prove that decame have a meaning and to discover it. In classical antiquity great importance was attached to dreams as fore-talling the firture; but modern science would have nothing to do with them, it handed them over to supermittion, declaring them to be

importance was attached to dreams as foretelling the fartner; but modern science would have nothing to do with them, it handed them over to superatition, declaring them to be purely 'somatic' processes—a kind of spasm occurring in a mind that is otherwise asleep. It seemed quite inconcrivable that anyone who had done serious scientific work could make his appearance as an 'interpreter of dreams'. But by disregarding the excountruit-

carion pronounced upon decease, by reasting them as unexplained acurotic symptoms, as deluzional or obsessional ideas, by neglecting their apparent consent and by making their apparent component images into subjects for free association, psycho-analysis arrived at a different conclusion. The sumerous associations produced by the dremmer led to the discovery of a mental structure which could

no longer he described as abound or confused, which was on an equality with any other product of the mind, and of which the manifest drawn was no more than a distorted, abbrevized, and missandersstood translation, and untally a translation into visual images. These leaves drawn-desgree contined the maning of the dream, while its manifest content was simply a unske-believe, a façada, which could serve as a starting-point for the associations but not for the interprenation.

There were now a whole series of questions to be answered, among the most important of them being whether there was a notive for the formation of dreams, under what conditions it mole place, by what costhods the dream-thoughts (which are invariably full of sense) become converted into the dream (which is often semeless), and others besides. I attempted to solve all of these problems in The Interpretation of Dreams, which I published in the year 1900. It can until find space here for the briefiest abstract of my investigation. When the latent dream-thoughts that are revealed by the analysis of a dream are

¹ [Dis Translation, Therm, 1900.]

examined, one of them is found to stand out from among the rest, which are intelligible and well known to the dreamer. These latter thoughts are residues of waking life (the day's residue, as they are called cochnically); but the isolated thought is found to be an impulse in the form of a wish, often of a very repellent kind, which is foreign to the waking His of the dreamer and is consequently disavowed by him with susprise or indignation. This impulse is the actual constructor of the dream; it provides the energy for its production and makes use of the day's redduce as material; the dream which thus originates represents a situation in which the impulse is satisfied, it is the fulfilmone of the with which the impulse contains. It would not be possible for this process to take place without being favoured by the presence of something in the nature of a state of sleep. The necessary trental pre-condition of deep is the concentration of the ego upon the wish to sleep and the withdrawal of psychical energy from all the interests of life; since at the same time all the paths of approach to motility are blocked, the ego is also able to reduce the expenditure of energy by which at other times it maintains

the repressions. The unconscious impulse makes use of this nocturnal relaxation of repression in order to push its way into consciousness with the dream. But the repressive resistance of the ego is not abolished in sleep but merely reduced. Some of it remains in the shape of a consorate of dreams and forbida the unconscious impulse to express itself in the forms which it would properly maume. In consequence of the severity of the censorship of dreams, the latent dream-thoughts are obliged to submit so being altered and softened so as to make the forbidden meaning of the dream unrecognizable. This is the explanation of drawn distortion, which accounts for the most striking characteristic of the manifest dream. We are therefore justified in asserting that a dream is the (disguissed) fulfilment of a (represed) with It will now be seen that drams are constructed like a neurotic symptom; they are compromises between the demands of a repressed impulse and the resistance of a censoring force in the ego. Since they have a similar origin they are equally unintelligible and stand in equal accd of interpretation.

There is no difficulty in discovering the

general function of dreaming. It serves the purpose of warding off, by a kind of sopthing action, external or internal stimuli which would tend to arouse the alceper, and thus of securing sleep against interruption. External stimuli are warded off by being given a new interpretation and by being woven into some harmless struction; innormal stimult, caused by the pressure of instincts, are given free play by the elemer and allowed to find satisfaction in the formation of dreams, so long as the latent dream-thoughts submit to the control of the compoship. But if they threaten to break free and the meaning of the dream becomes too plain, the sleeper ours short the dream and awakens in servor. (Dreams of this class are known as acricy-drooms.) A timilar fullure in the function of dreaming occurs if an external stimulus becomes too strong to he warded off. (This is the class of aroutaldream.) I have given the name of dreamwork to the process which, with the co-operation of the censoeship, converts the latent thoughts isso the monificat constant of the dream. Il consists of a peculiar way of treating the preconcious material of thought, so that its component parts become condensed,

its mental emphasis becomes displaced, and the whole of it is translated into visual images or drematized, and filled out by a deceptive secondary staleousies. The dream-work is an excellent example of the processes occurring in the deeper, unconscious layers of the mind, which differ considerably from the familiar normal processes of thoughs. It also displays a number of archale characteristics, such as the use of a synthetism (in this case of a predominantly sexual kind) which it has since also been possible to discover in other spheres of mental activity.

We have explained that the unconscious impulse which causes the dresse connects itself with part of the day's residues, with some unexhausced interest of waking life; this lends the dream which is thus brought into being a double value for the work of analysis. If it true that on the one hand a deeum that but been analysed reveals itself as the fulfilment of a repressed wish; but on the other hand it will be a continuation of some preconscious activity of the day before and will contain subject-maner of some kind or other, whether it gives expression to a determination, a warning, a reflection, or once more to the fulfil-

ment of a wish. Analysis exploits the dream in both directions, as a means of obtaining knowledge alike of the nations's conscious and of his unconscious processes. It also profits from the fact that dreams have access to the forgotten maurial of childhood, and so it happens that infantile amnesia is for the most part overcome in connection with the interpretation of dreams. In this respect dreams schieve a part of what was previously the task of hypnotism. On the other hand, I have never maintained the assertion which has so often been ascribed to me that dream-incorporatation. shows that all dreams have a sexual content or are durived from sexual motive forces. It Is easy to see that hunger, thirst, or the need to extrete, can produce dreams of satisfaction ust as well as any repressed sexual or equistic impulse. The case of young children affords us a convenient sent of the validity of our theory of dresses. In them the various paychical systems are not yet sharply divided and the repressions have not yet grown deep, so that we often come upon dreams which are nothing more than undispaised fulfilments of impulses left over from waking life. Under the influence of imperative needs,

adults may also produce dreams of this infantile type.1

In the same way that psycho-analysis makes use of dream-interpretation, it also profits by the study of the numerous little slips and mistakes which people make-symptomatic actions, as they are called. I investigated this subject in a series of papers which were published for the first time in book form in roos under the title of The Psychopathology of Everyday Life.3 In this widely circulated work I have pointed out that these phenomena are not accidental, that they require more than physiological explanations, that they have a meaning and can be interpreted, and that one is justified in inferring from them the presence of restrained or repressed impulses and intentions. But what constitutes the enormous importance of dream-interpretation, as well as of this latter study, is not the ambunce they give to the work of analysis but another of

² (Addressed user, 1995.) When us considered here frequently the function of demanting minimizes, the draws may partly be characterized as an assumpt at the falliliness of a wigh. Arizonta's old defination of the draws as reachd life during slope will belief guant. These was a reacon, for any choosing as the dute of my book not The Draws but The https://dx.doi.org/10.1006/j.

their attributes. Previously psycho-analysis had only been concerned with solving pathological phenomena and in order to explain them it had often been driven into making assumptions whose composhensiveness was out of all proportion to the importance of the actual material under consideration. But when It came to dreams, it was no longer dealing with a pathological symptom, but with a phenomenon of normal mental life which might occur in any healthy person. If dreams turned out to be constructed like symptoms, if their explanation required the same aunumptions—the repression of impulses, substituteformation, compromise-formation, the dividing of the conscious and the unconscious into various psychical systems—then psychoenalysis was no longer a sobsidiary science in the field of psycho-puthology, it was rather the foundation for a new and deeper science of the mind which would be oqually indispensable for the understanding of the normal. its portulates and findings could be carried over to other regions of mental happening; a path lay open to it that led for afield, into roberes of universal interest.

CHAPTER V

I agent interrupt my account of the internal growth of psycho-analysis and turn to III account of the first of the control history. What I have so far described of its discoveries has related for the most part to the centles of my own work; but I have filled in my scory with naterial from later dates and have not distinguished between my own contributions and those of my pupils and followers.

For more than am years after my separation from Bretter I had no followers. I was completely included. In Vienna I was shunned; abroad no notice was taken of me. My Interpretation of Dreams, published in 1900, was scarcely reviewed in the technical journals. In my paper 'On the History of the Psycho-Aralytic Movement' I mentioned as an instance of the attitude adopted by psychiatric circles. Il Vienna a conversation with an assistant at the Chinic, who had written a book against my theories but had never read my Interpretation of Dreams. He had been told at

the Clivic that it was not worth while. The man in question, who has since become a professor, has gone so far as to repudiate my report of the conversation and to throw doubts in general upon the accuracy of my recollection. I can only say that I stand by every word of the account I then gave.

every word of the account I then gave. As soon as I realized the inevitable nature of what I had come up against, my sensitiveness greatly diminished. Moreover my isolation gradually came to an end. To begin with, a small circle of pupils gathered round me in Vienna: and then, after 1906, came the nava that the psychiatrists at Zurick, E. Blauler, his andstant C. G. Jung, and others, were taking a lively inscreet in psycho-analysis. We got into personal rouch with one mother, and at Easter 1908 the friends of the young science met at Salsburg, agreed upon the regular repetition of similar informal congresses and arranged for the publication of a journal which was edited by Jung and was given the title of Jahrback für psychopashologische und psychoanalytische Forschangen. It was brought out under the direction of Bleuler and myself and ceased publication at the beginning of the Great War. At the same time that the Swiss

psychiatrists joined the movement, interest in psycho-analysis began to be aroused all over Germany; it became the subject of a large number of written comments as well as of lively discussions at acceptific congresses. But its reception was nowhere friendly or even benevolently impartial. After the briefiest acquaintance with psycho-analysis German aclantos was united in rejecting it.

Even to-day it is of course impossible for me to foresee the final judgment of posterity upon the value of psycho-malysis for psychistry, psychology, and the mental sciences in general. But I fancy that, when the history of the phase we have lived through comes to be written, German science will not have cause to be proud of shose who represented it. I am not thinking of the fact that they rejected prycho-analysis or of the decisive way in which they did so; both of these things were easily intelligible, they were only to be expected and at any rate they threw no discredit upon the character of the opponents of analysis. But for the degree of arrogance which they displayed, for their conscienceless contempt of logic, and for the conseness and bad taste of their attacks there could be no excuse.

It may be said that it is childish of me to give free rein to such firelings as those now, after fifteen years have passed; nor would I do so unless I had something moor to add. Years later, during the Great War, when a chorus of enemies were beinging against the German ration the charge of barbarism, a charge which sures up all that I have written above, it note the less burt deeply to feel that my own experience would not allow me to conradict it.

One of my opponents boasted of silencing his patients as soon as they began to talk of anything sexual and evidently thought that this technique gave him a right to judge the part played by sexuality in the neuroses. Apart from emotional resistances, which were so easily explicable by the psycho-analytical theory that it was impossible to be misled by them, it seemed to me that the main obstacle to agreement by in the fact that my opponents regarded psycho-analysis as a product of my speculative imagination and were unwilling to believe in the long, patient and unbiassed work which had gone to its making. Since in their opinion analysis had nothing to do with observation or experience, they believed that

they themselves were justified in rejecting it without experience. Others again, who did not feel so strongly convinced of this, repeated in their resistance the classical mancouvre of not looking through the microscope so as to avoid seeing what they had denied. It is remarkable, indeed, how incorrectly most people act when they are obliged to form a adgment of their own upon some new subset. I have heard for years from 'benevolent' critics-and I am sold the same thing even to-day-thet psycho-analysis is right up to such-and-such a point but that there it begins to suggeste and so geografiae without justfication. But I know that, while nothing is more difficult than to draw such a line, the critics had been completely ignorant of the whole subject only a few weeks or days earlier.

The result of the official anothema against psycho-analysis was that the analysts begun to come closer sugether. At the second Congress, held in Nusemberg in 1910, they formed themselves, on the proposal of Ferencai, into an International Psycho-Assilytical Association' divided into a number of total societies but under a common president. The Association of the congress of the constant president of the Association of the congress of the cong

tion survived the Great War and still exists. consisting to-day of branch societies in Austria, Germany, Hungary, Switzerland, Great Britain, Holland, Russia, and India, as well as two in the United States. I arranged that C. G. Jung should be appointed as the first President, which carned out later to have been a most unformatie step. At the same time a second journal devoted to psychoanalysis was started, the Zateralblass filtr Psychografice, edited by Adler and Stakel, and a little later a third, Imago, edited by two non-medical analysts, H. Sachs and O. Rank, and intended to deal with the application of analysis to the mental sciences. Soon afterwards Bleater published a paper in defence of psycho-analysis. Though it was a relief to find honesty and straightforward logic for once caking part in the dispute, yet I could not feel completely satisfied by Bleuler's essay. He strove too eagerly after an appearance of impartiality; nor B II a maner of chance that it is to him that our science owes the valuable concept of ambivulence. In later papers Bleuler adopted such a critical attitude towards the

³ Die Psychomiyas Famili, Jaiolasi für pyydamiysielu met pyrilapolulagioch Peterlages, St. I., 1970.

theoretical atructure of analysis and rejected or threw doubts upon such essential parts of it, that I could not help asking myself in astonialment what could be left of it for him to admire. Yet not only has he subsequently uttered the strongest plans in favour of 'depth psychology' but he based his comprehensive suchy of schistophrenia upon ic. Nevertheless Bleular did not for long remain a member of the International Psycho-Analytical Association; he resigned from it as a result of misundarstandings with Juag, and the Burgh tilali was lost to analysis.

Official disapproval could not hinder the spread of psycho-enalysis either in Germany or in other countries. I have elsewhere in followed the sugges of in growth and given the names of those who were its first representatives. In 1909 G. Sembley Hall invited Jung and me to America to go to the Clark University, Worcester, Mass., of which he was President, and to spend a week giving lectures (in German) at the celebration of the twentieth anniversary of that hody's foundation. Hall was justly estemmed as a psychologist and

 [[]The public month longital at Eurich.]
 On the History of the Psycho-Analysis Movement.

educationalist, and had introduced psychoanalysis into his courses some years before; there was a touch of the "king-maker" about him, a pleasure in setting up authorities and in then deposing them. We also mer James J. Putnam there, the Harvard neurologist, who in spice of his age was an cochustastic supporter of psycho-mulyah and threw the whole weight of a personality that was universally respected into the defence of the cultural value of analysis and the purity of its eiths. He was an estimable man, in whom, as a reaction against a predisposition to obsessional neurosis, an ethical hiss predominated; and the only thing in him that we could regret was his inclination to attack psycho-enalysis to a particular philosophical system and to make it the servant of moral sizes. Another event of this time which made a lasting impression upon me was a meeting with William James the philosopher. I shall never forget one little scene that occurred as we were on a walk together. He stopped suddenly, handed me a bag he was carrying and esked me to walk on. saying that he would eatch me up as soon as he had got through an attack of angina pectoris which was just coming on. He died

of that disease a year later; and I have always wished that I might be as feadens as he was in the face of approaching death.

At that time I was only fifty-three. I felt young and healthy, and my chort visit to the new world encouraged my self-respect in every way. In Europe I felt as though I were daspised; but over there I found myself received by the foremost men as an equal. As I stepped on to the planform at Worrester to deliver my Five Lectures upon Psycho-Analysis it seemed like the realisation of some incredible day-dream: psycho-analysis was no longer a product of delusion, it had become a valuable part of reality. It has not lost ground in America since our visit; it is extremely popular among the lay public and is recognized by a mamber of official psychiatrists as an important element in medical training. Unfortunately, however, it has suffered a great deal from being watered down. Moreover, many abuses which have no relation to it find a cover under its name, and there are few opportunities for any thorough training In technique or theory. In America, too, it has come III conflict with Behaviourism, a theory which is naive enough to boast that it has put

the whole problem of psychology completely out of court.

In Europe during the years 1911-13 two soccasionist movements from psycho-analysis took place, led by men who had previously played a considerable part in the young science, Alfred Adler and C. G. Jung. Both movements seemed most threatening and quickly obtained a large following. But their strength lay, not in their own content, but in the temptation which they offered of being freed from what were felt as the repellent findings of psycho-analysis without the nacessity of rejecting to acoust material. Jung attempted to give to the facts of analysis a fresh interpretation of an abstract, impersonal and pon-historical character, and thus hoped to escape the need for recognizing the importance of infamile sexuality and of the Ondipus complex as well as the necessity for any analysis of childhood. Adler seemed to depart still forther from psycho-analysis; he entirely repudiated the importance of sexuality, traced back the formation both of character and of the neuroses solely to men's desire for power and to their need to compensage for their constitutional inferiority.

and threw all the psychological discoveries of psycho-analysis to the winds. But what he had rejected forced its way back into his closed system under other names; his 'masculine protest' is nothing else than repression unjustifiably atmailized. The criticism with which the two heretics were met was a mild ons; I only insisted that both Adler and Jung should cease to describe their theories as 'psycho-analysis'. After a lapse of ten years it can be asserted that both of these attempts against psycho-analysis have blown over without doing any harm.

If a community is based upon agreement upon a few cardinal points, it is obvious that people who have abandoned that common ground will once to belong to it. Yet the accession of former pupils has often been brought up against me as a sign of tay intoleratice or has been regarded se evidence of some special fatality that hasgn over me. It is a sufficient answer to point out that in contrast to those who have left me, like Jung, Adler, Stekel, and a few heaking, there are a great number of men, like Abraham, Eitingon, Ferencci, Rank, Jones, Brill, Sacha, Pfister, van Enden, Beilt, and others, who have

worked with me for some fifteen years in loyal collaboration and for the most part in uninterrupted friendship. I have only mentioned the oldest of my pupils, who have already made a distinguished name for themselves in the literature of psycho-analysis; if I have passed over others, that is not m be taken as a slight, and indeed among those who are young and have joined me lately talents are to be found on which great hopes may be set. But I think I can say in my defence that an insolerant man, dominated by an arrogane belief in his own infallibility, would never have been able to maintain his hold upon so large a number of intelligent people, especially if he had at his command as few practical attractions as I had.

The Great War, which besite up so many other organizations, could do nothing against our futernational. The first meeting after the war took place in 1920, at The Hague, on neutral ground. It was moving to see how houpitably the Dutch welcomed the starving and improverished subjects of the Central European states; and I believe this was the first occasion in a regined world ou which Englishmen and Germans sat at the same table for

the friendly discussion of scientific interests. Both in Germany and in the countries of Western Europe the war had acqually stimulated interest in psycho-analysis. The observation of war neuroses had at last opened the eyes of the medical profession so the importance of psycho-genesis in neurotic disturbanon, and some of our psychological concaptions, such as the 'advantage of being ill' and the flight into illness, suddenly became popular. The last Congress before the German collapse, which was held at Budapest in 1918, was attended by official representatives of the allied governments of the Captral European powers, and they agreed to the eatablishment of psycho-analytic stations for the treatment of war neuroses. But this point was never reached. Similarly too the comprehandive plans made by one of our leading members, Dr. Anton you Freund, for establishing in Buckspess a centre for analytic study and treatment came to grief as a result of the political disorders of the time and of the premature death of their generous author. At a later date some of his idean were put into execution by Max Eitingon, who in 1920 founded a psycho-enalytical clinic in Berlin.

100

During the brief period of Bolshevist rule in Hungary, Ferencei was able to carry on a successful course of instruction as the official representative of psycho-analysis at the University of Budapest. After the war our opponents announced with great joy that events had produced a conclusive argument against the validity of the theses of analysis. The war neuroses, they said, had proved that sexual factors were unnecessary so the actiology of neurotic disorders. But their triumph was frivolous and premature. For on the one hand no one had been able to carry our a thorough analyzis of a case of war neurosis, so that in fact nothing wherever was known for carrain as to their motivation and no conclusions could be drawn from this uncertainty. While on the other hand psycho-analysis had long before arrived at the concept of narcissism and of narcisolatic neuroses, in which the subject's libido is attached to his own ego instead of to an object. Though on other occasions, therefore, the charge was brought against psycho-malysis of lawing made as unjustifiable extension of the concept of sexuality, yet, when it became convenient for polemical ends, this crime was foresten and we were

once more held down to the nerrowest meaning of the word.

If the preliminary cathertic period is left on one side, the history of psycho-analysis falls from thy point of view into two phases. In the first of these I stood alone and had to do all the work myself: this was from 1805-06 until 1906 or 1907. In the second phuse, lasting from then until the present time, the contrihurlons of my pupils and collaborators have been growing more and more in importance, so that to-day, when a grave illness warm me of the approaching end, I can think with a quiet mind of the cessation of my own labours. For that very reason, however, it is impossible for me in this Associographical Study to deal as fully with the progress of psycho-analysis during the second phase as I did with its gradual rise during the first phase, which was concerned with my own activity alone. I feel that I should only be justified in mentioning here those new discoveries in which I still played a proximent part, in particular, therefore, those made in the sphere of narrisusta, of the theory of the instincts, and of the application of psycho-analysis to the psychoses.

I must begin by adding that increasing ex-

perience showed more and more plainly that the Oedipus complex was the nucleus of the neurosis. It was at once the clienty of infantile sexual life and the point of junction from which all of its later developments proceeded. But if so, it was no longer possible to expect analysis to discover a factor that was specific in the secology of the neuroses. It must be true, as Jung expressed it so well in the early days when he was still an analyst, that neurosas have no paculier content which belongs exclusively to them but that neurotics break down at the same difficulties that are successfully overcome by normal people. This discovery was very far from being a disappointment. It was in complete harmony with another one: that the depth-psychology revoiled by psycho-analysis was in fact the psychology of the normal mind. Our path had been like that of chemistry: the great qualitative differences between substances were traced back to quantitative variations in the proportions in which the same elements were combined.

In the Oedipus complex the libido was attached to the image of the purents. But earlier there was a period in which there were no such objects. There followed from this fact the concept (of fundamental importance for the libido theory) of a state in which the subject's libido filled his own ego and had that for its object. This state could to called narciation or self-lowe. A moment's reflection showed that this state never completely crases. All through the subject's life his ego remains the great reservoir of his libido, from which the attachments to objects (the object-cashanse) radiate out and inco which the libido can stream back again from the objects. Thus narcisalstic libido is constantly being converted into object-libido, and vice verse. An excellent instance of the length to which this conversion can go is afforded by the sexual or unblimated devotion which involves a martice of the self. Whereas hitherto in considering the process of repression attention had only been paid to what was repressed, these ideas made it also possible to form a correct estimate of the represelyg forces. It had been said that repression was set in action by the instincts of self-preservation operating in the ego (the ago-intrincts) and that it was brought to bear upon the libidinal instincts. But since the instincts of self-preservation were now recognized as also being of a libidinal nature, as being narcisaistic libido, the process of repression was seen to be a process occurring within the libido inself; narcisaistic libido was opposed to object-libido, the interests of self-preservation defended themselves against the demands of object-love, that is, against the demands of suxuality in the narrower agains.

There is no more urgant need in psychology than for a securely founded theory of the instincts on which it might then be possible to build further. Nothing of the sort exists. however, and psycho-analysis is driven to making textudive efforts towards some such theory. It began by drawing a contrast between the ego-instincts (the instinct of selfpreservation, hunger) and the libidinal instincts (love), but later replaced it by a new contrast between narcissistic and object-libido. This was clearly not the last word on the subject; biological considerations seemed to make it impossible to remain content with assuming the existence of only a single class of instincts.

In the works of my later years (Beyond the Pleasure Principle, Group Psychology and the

Analysis of the Ego, and The Ego and the [4], I have given free rein to the inclination which I kept down for so long to speculation and I have also taken stock of a new solution of the problem of the instincts. I have combined the instincts for self-preservation and for the prepervation of the species under the concept of Ever and have congressed with it an instinct of death or destruction which works in glence. Instinct in general is regarded as a kind of abaticity of living things, as impulsion towards the restoration of a situation which once existed but was brought to an end by some expannel disturbance. This essentially conservative character of inetinen is exemplified by the phenomena of the computation to repear. The picture which life presents to us is the result of the working of Eros and the death-instinct together and against each other.

It remains to be seen whether this construction will turn out to be serviceable. Although it arose from a desire to fix some of themost important theoretical ideas of psychoanalysis, it goes far heyoud psycho-mulysis.

³ [Janeire de Laspringie, Viran, 1920, Montpopulalagir and Ichmelyn, Virana, 2922, 2nd Dar Ich and dar Ex, Virana, 2023.]

I have repeatedly heard it said contemptsously that it is impossible to take a science seriously whose most general concepts are as lacking in precision as those of libido and of instinct in psycho-analysis. But this reproach is based upon a complete misconception of the facts. Clear fundamental concepts and sharply drawn definitions are only possible in the mental sciences in so far as the latter seek to fit a department of facts into the frame of a logical system. In the natural sciences, of which psychology is one, such clear-out general concepts are superfluous and indeed Impossible. Zoology and Bouny did not start from correct and adequate definitions of an animal and a pleat; to this very day biology has been unable to give any certain meaning to the concept of life. Physics itself, indeed, would never have made any advance if it had had to wait until its concepts of matter, force, gravitation, and so on, had reached the desirable degree of clarity and precision. The fundamental concepts or most general ideas in any of the disciplines of science are always left indeterminate at first and are only explained to begin with by reference to the realm of phenomena from which they were

derived; it is only by means of a progressive analysis of the material of observation that they can be made clear and can find a significent and consistent meaning. I have always felt it as a gross injustice that people always refused to treat psycho-analysis like any other science. This refusal found an expression in the reiging of the most obstitute objections. Psycho-analysis was constantly reproached for its incompletenesses and insufficiencies: though it is plain that a science based upon observation has no alternative but to work out its findings piecemeal and so solve its problems step by step. Again, when I endesvouted to obtain for the sexual function the recognition which had so long been withheld from it, psycho-malytic theory was branded as 'pan-semulism'. And when I had stress upon the hitherto neglected importance of the part played by the accidental impressions of early youth, I was told that psycho-analysis was denying constitutional and hereditary factors a thing which I had never dresont of doing. It was a case of contradiction at any price and by my methods.

I had already made attempts at outler stages of my work to arrive at some more

general points of view, starting from the observations of psycho-analysis. In a short casay, Formulations regarding the Two Principles of Mental Functioning, published in 1911, I drew attention (and these was, of course, nothing original in this) to the domination of the pleasure-pain principle in mental life and to its displacement by the so-called makey principle. Later on (1915-17) I made an attempt to produce a 'Metapsychology'. By this I meant a method of approach according to which every mental process is considered in relation to three co-ordinares, which I described as symmetry supergraphical, and seenomic respectively; and this seemed to me to represent the furthest goal that psychology could amin. The anempt remained no more than a torso; after writing two or three papers -Instincts and their Vicisaitudes', 'Repression', 'The Unconscious', 'Mourning and Malancholia', esc. - I broke off, wisely perhaps, since the time for theoretical predica-

Pages, well led

drived für protinumiyated name. Hel. III., store. Englis Collected Papers, vol. by.]

tions of this kind had not yet come. In my latest specularive works I have set about the task of dissecting our mental apparatus on the basis of the analytic view of pathological facts and have divided it into an age, an id, and a superage. The superage is the heir of the Oedique complex and represents the ethical standards of mentione.

I should not like to create an impression that during this last period of my work I have turned my beck upon perions observation and have abandoned myself entirely to speculation. I have on the concrery always remained in the slosest touck with the analytic material and have never cossed working at denilled points of clinical or sechnical importance. Even when I have moved sway from observation, I have carefully avoided my contact with philosophy proper. This avoidance has been greatly facilitated by constitutional incapacity. I was always open to the ideas of G. T. Feelmer and have followed that thinker upon many important points. The large extent to which paycho-analysis coincides with the philosophy of Schopenhauer and only did he assert the dominance of the emotions and the supreme

³ 7% Ego and also II.

importance of sexuality but he was even aware of the mechanism of repression—Is not to be traced to my acquaintance with his teaching. I read Schopenhauer wery lase in my life. Nietzsche, another philosopher whose guesses and impitious often agree in the most astonishing way with the laborious findings of prycho-analysis, was for a long time avoided by the ort that very account; I was less concurred with the question of priority than with keeping my raind unemberrassed.

The nauroses were the first subject of analysis, and for a long time they were the only one. No malyst could doubt that medical practice was wrong in separating those disorders from the psychoses and in attaching them to the cagnate nervous diseases. The theory of the neuroses belongs to psychiatry and is indispensable as an introduction to it. It would seems, however, that the analytical analy of the psychoses is impacticable owing to its lack of the apendic results. Mental patients are as a rule without the capacity for forming a positive transference, so that the principal instrument of snalytic technique is inapplicable to them. There are revertheless a number of methods of approach to be

found. Transference is often not so completely absent but that it can be used to a certain extent; and analysis has achieved undoubted successes with cyclical depressions, light paramoic modifications, and partial schizophrenias. Il has at least been a henefit to science that in many cases the diagnosis can oscillate for quite a long time between assuming the presence of a psycho-neurosis or of a dementia praecou; for thesapeude attempts initiated in such cases have resulted in valuable discoveries before they have had to be broken off. But the chief consideration in this connection is that so many things that in the peuroses have to be laboriously fetched up from the depths are found in the psychoses upon the surface, visible to every eye. So that the best subjects for the demonstration of many of the assertious of analysis are provided by the psychiatric clinic. It was thus bound to happen before long that analysis would find its way to the objects of psychiatric observation. At a very early date (1896) I was able to establish in a case of paranoid dementia the presence of the same actiological factors and the same emotional complexes as in the neuroses. Jung explained some most

pusaling stereotypies in dements by bringing them into relation with the patients' lifehistories; Bleuler demonstrated the existence in various psychoses of mechanisms like those which analysis had discovered in neurotics, Since then analysts have never relaxed their efforts to come to so understanding of the psychoses. Especially since it has been possible to work with the concept of narciasism, they have managed, now in this place and now in that, to get a glimpee beyond the wall. Most of all, no doubt, was achieved by Abraham in his elucidation of melancholis. It is true that in this sphere all our knowledge is not yet converted into therapeutic power; but the mere cheoretical gain is not to be despited, and we may be content to wait for in practical application. In the long run even the psychiatrists have been mable to resist the convincing force of their own clinical material. At the present time German psychiatry is undergoing a kind of 'peaceful penetration' by analytic views. While they continually declare that they will never be psycho-analysts, that they do not belong to the 'orthodox' achool or agree with its eneggerations, and in particular that they do not believe ill the predominance

of the atmost factor, nevertheless the resjority of the younger workens take over one piece or another of analytical theory and apply it in their own fashion to the material. All the signs point to the proximity of further developments in the same direction.

CHAPTER VI

I now watch from a distance the symptomatic reactions that are accompanying the introduction of psycho-analysis isno the France which was for so long assistance. It seems like a reproduction of something I have lived through before, and yet it has peculiarities of its own. Objections of incredible simplicity are raised, such as that French sensitivenus is offended by the pedanny and crudity of psycho-analysical terminology. (One cannot help being runninded of Lessing's immortal Chevaller Riccamt de la Martinière.) Another comment has a soore serious ring (a Professor of Psychology at the Soebonne did not think it bemeath him); the whole method of thought of psycho-analysis is inconsistent with the

⁴ [The confit French subject of fortune to Misses verified and the amount when his sharp practice to engle in described on channey "Commune Mademolnalle? Voos appeles cels "churchey?" Configer in forence, Feechsbor sons an delays, there for the same fielt—the the Germans all that "blanding?" Ganding! Ols, when a power banguage, when a creda language German men he?]

ginic latin. Here the Anglo-Simon allies of France, who count as supporters of analysis, are emplicitly thrown over. Anyone hearing the remark would suppose that psycho-analysis had been the favourite child of the ginic suptomique and had been chuped to its heart from the moment of birth.

In France the interest in psycho-enalysis began among the mea of letters. To understand this, it soust be borne in mind that from the time of the writing of The Interpretation of Dreams psycho-analysis ceased to be a purely medical subject. Between its appearance in Germany and in France lies the history of its numerous applications to departments of literature and of seethetics, to the history of religious and to pre-history, to mythology, to folk-lors, to education, and so on. None of these things have much to do with medicinal in fact it is only through psycho-analysis that they are connected with it. I have no business. therefore, to go into them in detail in these pages.1 I cannot pass them over completely in silence, however, for on the one hand they are essential to a correct appreciation of the

¹ [The present work, is will be assemblered, originally formed part of a scales of medical numbiographies.]

nature and value of psycho-analysis, and on the other hand I lawe, after all, undertaken to give an account of my life work. The beginnings of the majority of these applications of psycho-analysis will be found in my works. Here and there I have gone a little way along the path in order to gratify my non-medical interests. Later on, others (not only doctors, but specialists in the various fields as well) have followed in my tracks and penetrated far into the different subjects. But since my programme limits use to a mention of my own share in these applications of psycho-analysis, I can only give a quite inadequate picture of their extent and importance.

A number of suggestions came to me out of the Oedipas complex, the ubiquity of which gradually dewned on me. The poer's choice, or his invention, of such a terrible subject seemed puzzling; and so too did the overwhelming effect of its dramatic treatment, and the general asture of such tragedies of deatiny. But all of this became intelligible when one realized that a universal law of mental life had here been captured in all its emotional significance. Face and the oracle were no more than materialbustons of an internal successity;

and the fact of the hero siming without his knowledge and against his intentions was evidently a right expression of the successions nature of his criminal tendencies. From understanding this tragedy of destiny it was only a step further to understanding a tragedy of character-Hande, which had been admired for three handred years without its marning being discovered or its author's motives guessed. It could scarcely be a chance that this naurotic creation of the poet should have broken down, like his numberiess fellows in the real world, at the Oedipus complex; for Hamlet was faced with the task of taking vengemes upon another for the two deeds which are the subject of the Oedipus desires, and before that rask his arm was paralyzed by his own obscure sense of guilt. Shakespeare wrote Hamist very soon after his father's death.3 The suggestions made by me for the analysis of this tragedy were fully worked out later on by Ernest Jones. And the same example was afterwards used by Otto Rank as the starting-point for his investigation of the choice of material made by dramatists. In his

¹ (Additional natu, 1999.) I have particular reactes for no longer widing to by my maphinis upon this point.

large volume upon the incest theme he was able to show how often imaginative writers have taken as their subject the themes of the Oedipus situation, and traced in the different literatures of the world the way in which the material has been terreformed, modified, and softened.

It was tempting to go on from there to an attempt at an analysis of poetic and artistic creation in general. The realm of imagination was evidently a 'sanctuary' made during the peinful transition from the pleasure principle to the reality principle in order to provide a substitute for the gratification of instincts which had to be given up in real life. The artist, like the neprotic, had withdrawn from an amenisfying reality into this world of imagination; but, unlike the neurotic, he knew how to find a way back from it and once more to get a firm footbold in reality. His creations, works of art, were the imaginary gratifications of maconscious wishes, just as dreams are; and like these they were in the nature of compromises, since they too were forced to svald stry open conflict with the forces of separation. But they differed from

³ Dec Japan Minis in Dichery and Sons, Viscon, 1919.

the asocial, nercisaistic products of dreaming in that they were calculated to arouse incerest in other people and were able to evoke and to gratify the same unconscious wishes in them too. Besides this, they made use of the perceptual pleasure of formal heasty as what I have called an 'meitement-premium'. What paycho-analysis was able to do was to take the inter-relations between the impressions of the artist's life, his chance experiences, and his works, and from them to construct his constitution and the impulses at work in it-that is to say, that part of him which he shared with all men. With this aim in view, for instance, I made Leonardo da Vinci the subject of a study, which is based upon a single memory of childhood related by him and which aims chiefly at explaining his picture of 'St. Attne with the Virgin and Child'. It does not appear that the enjoyment of a work of art is spoiled by the knowledge gained from such an analysis. The laymen may perhaps expect too much from analysis in this respect, for it must be admitted that it throws no light upon the two problems which probably interest him the most. It can do nothing towards elucidating the nature of the artistic

gift, nor can it explain the means by which the artist works—artistic technique. I was able to show from a short story by W. Jetnen called Granfow, which has no par-

ticular merit in itself, that invented dreams can be interpreted in the same way as real ones and that the unconscious mechanisms familiar to us in the 'dress-work' are thus also operative in the processes of imaginative writing. My book upon Wit and its Relation to the Unconscious was a side-impe indirectly dorived from The Interpretation of Dropms. The only friend of mine who was at that time interested in my work remarked to me that my interpretations of dreams often impressed him as being like johes. In order to throw some light on this impression, I began to investigate jokes and found that their essence ay III the technical methods employed in them, and that these were the same as the means used in the 'dream-work'—that is to say, condensation, displacement, the representation of a thing by its opposite or by a

triviality, and so on. This led to an economic

enquiry as to the origin of the high degree of

1 [Fire Germa ribbin, units the title of Der Wier and
min Sepistent per Uniterman, Visital, 1905.]

pleasure obtained from heming a joke. And to this the answer was that it was due to the momentary asspension of the energy expended upon maintaining repression owing to the attraction exercised by the offer of a premium of pleasure (fore-pleasure).

I myself act a higher value upon my contributions to the psychology of religion, which began in 1907 with the establishment of a remarkable ulmilarity between obsessive acts and religious practices or citual. Without as yet understanding the deeper connections, I described the obsessional neurous as a distorted private religion and religion as a kind of universal obsessional neurosis, Later on, in 1913, the explicit indications of Jung as to the far-reaching analogies between the mental produces of mentotics and of primitive peoples led me to turn my attention to that subject. In four essays, which were collected into a book with the title of Toton and Talon,1 I showed that the dread of incest was even more marked among primitive than among civilized races and had given rise to very special measures of defence against it; I cannined the relations

 $^{^3}$ [First German collision, under the thile of Financ and Table, Vicanu, 1963.]

between taboo-probibitions (the earliest form in which moral restrictions make their appearance) and emotional ambigulance; and I discovered under the primitive scheme of the universe known as animism the principle of the overestimation of the importance of psychical reality, the principle of 'the omnipotence of thoughts', which also lies at the root of magic. I developed the comparison with the obsessional neurosis at every point, and showed how many of the postulates of primitive mental life are still in force in that remarkable disorder. Above all, however, I was attracted by soccasism, the first system of organization in primitive tribes, a system in which the beginnings of social order are united with a rudimenousy religion and the implacable domination of a small number of tabooprohibitions. The being that is honoured is ultimately always at aribud, from which the clan also claims to be descended. Many indications pointed to the conclusion that every race, even the most highly developed, had once passed through the stage of totemism.

The chief literary sources of my studies in this field were the well-known works of J. G. France (Totamies and Engages and The

Galden Bengil), a mine of valuable facts and opinions. But France effected little towards elucidating the problems of totersian; he had several times fundamentally altered his views on the subject, and the other ethoologists and pre-historians seemed in equal uncertainty and disagreement. My starting-point was the striking correspondence between the two taboo-injunctions of toterniam (not to kill the totem and not to have sexual relations with any woman of the same some-clan) and the two elements of the Oedipus complex (killing the father and taking the mother to wife). I was therefore sempted to equate the totemanimal with the father; and in fact primitive peoples themselves do this explicitly, by honouring it as the forefisher of the clan. There next came to my help two facts from psycho-analysis, a lucky observation of a child made by Ferenczi, which made it possible to tpeak of an 'infantile seturn of totemism', and the analysis of early animal-phobias in children, which so often showed that the animal was a substitute for the father, a substitute on to which the few of the father derived from the Oedipus complex had been displaced. Not much was lacking to enable

me to recognize the killing of the father as the nucleus of totenium and the starting-point ill the formation of religion.

This missing element was supplied when I became acquainted with W. Robertson Smith's work, The Religion of the Sources. Its author (a man of genius who was both a physicist and a biblical expert) introduced the so-called totem-feart as an ensential part of the totemintic religion. Once a year the totem animal, which was at other cines regarded as sarred, was solutionly billed in the presence of all the members of the clan, was devoured and was then mourned over. The mourning was followed by a great festival. When I further took into account Derwin's conjecture that men originally lived in bordes, each under the domination of a single powerful, violent and jatious male, there tose before me out of all these components the following hypothesis, or, I would rather my, vision. The father of the primal horde, since he was an unlimited despot, had seized all the women for himself; his sons, being dangerous to him as rivals. had been killed or driven away. One day, however, the some came cogether and united to overwhelm, kill, and devour their father.

who had been their enemy but also their ideal. After the deed they were mable to take over their heritage since they smod in one morher's way. Under the influence of failure and regret they learned to come to an agreement among themselves, they handed themselves into a class of brothers by the help of the ordinance.

of toterniam, which aloned at preventing a repetition of such a deed, and they jointly undertook to forego the pomenion of the women
on whose account they had killed their father.
They were then driven to finding strange
women, and this was the origin of the exogamy which is so closely bound up with
toterniam. The totem-feast was the commanneration of the feastful deed from which
syming man's sense of goals (or 'original thi')
and which was the beginning at eace of social
organization, of religion and of existal restrictions.

Now whether we suppose that such a post-

Now whether we suppose that such a postbility was a historical event or not, it brings the formation of religion within the circle of the father-complex and bases it upon the ambivalence which dominates that complex. After the totem animal had coused to serve as a substitute for kim, the primal father, at once feared and hated, honoured and envired, became the prototype of God himself. The son's rebelliousness and bis affection for his father struggled against each other through a constant succession of compromises, which sought on the one hand to atome for the act of particide and on the other to consolidate the advantages it had beought. This view of religion throws a particularly clear light upon the psychological basis of Christianity, in which, it may be added, the ceremony of the rotam-feast still survives with but little distortion in the form of Communion, I should like explicitly to mention that this last observation was not made by me but is to be found to the works of Robertson Smith and France.

Theodor Reik and G. Róbeim, the ethnologist, have taken up the line of thought which I developed in Toesen and Tabos and in a series of important works, have extended it, amplified it, or corrected it. I myself have since returned to it more than once, in the course of my investigations into the 'unconcious sense of guilt' (which also plays such an important past among the motives of neuroid sufficiency) and is my attempts as forming

a closer connection between suchal psychology and the psychology of the individual. I have moreover made use of the idea of an archaic inheritance from the 'primal honde' epoch of runnkind's development in explaining maceptibility to hypnosis.

I have taken but little direct part in certain other applications of psycho-analysis, though they are none the less of general interest. It is only a step from the phanessies of individual naurotics to the imaginative creations of groups and peoples as we find them in myths, legends, and fairy tales. Mythology became the special province of Orto Rank; the interpretation of myths, the tracing of them back to the familiar unconscious complexes of infancy, the replacing of astral explanations by a discovery of human motives, all of this is to a large extent due to his analytic efforts. The subject of symbolism has also found many students among my followers. Symbolism has brought psycho-enalysis many enemies; many enquirers with unduly prossic minds have never been able to forgive it the recognition of symbolism, which followed from the inter-

³ The Ego and size Ed and Group Psychology and the Amirois of the Eur.

pretation of dreams. But analysis is guiltless of the discovery of symbolism, for it had long been known in other regions of thought (such as folk-lore, legends, and stysts) and plays an even larger part in then that in the language of dreams.

I myself have contributed nothing to the application of analysis to education. It was natural, however, that the analytic discoveries as to the sexual life and mental development of children abould attract the attention of educators and make them see their problems in a new light. Dr. Osker Pfister, a protestant pastor ar Zurich, led the way as a tireless pioneer along these lines, nor did he find the practice of analysis incompanies with the retention of his religion, though it is true that this was of a sublimated kind. Among the many others who worked alongside of him I may mention Frau Dr. Hug-Heilmoth and Dr. S. Bernfeld, both of Vienna. The application of analysis to the prophylactic education of healthy children and to the correcting of those who, though not actually neurotic,

¹ (Additional som, 1935) Since these weeds were written child analysis in particular has gained a powerful monoscom owing to the work of Miss Mahada Khan and of any daughnet Arms Press.

have deviated from the normal course of development has led to one consequence which is of practical importance. It is no longer possible to restrict the practice of psycho-analysis to physicians and to exclude aymen from it. In fact, a physician who has not been through a special training is, in spite of his diplome, a layman in analysis, and a non-physician who has been suitably trained can, with occasional reference to a physician, carry out the analytic tresument not only of

children but also of penrocica. By a process of development against which it would have been useless so struggle, the word 'psycho-enalysis' has itself become ambiguous. While it was originally the name of a particular therapeutic method, it has now also become the name of a science—the science of unconscious mental processes. By track this science is seldon able to deal with a problem completely, but it seems destined to give valuable contributory help in a large number of regions of knowledge. The sphere of application of psycho-analysis extends 88 far as that of psychology, so which it forms a complement of the greatest manner.

Looking back, then, over the patchwork of

my life's labours, I can my that I have made many beginnings and throws our many ruggestions. Something will come of them in the future, though I cannot myself aell whether it will be much or little. I can, however, express a hope that I have opened up a partway for an important advance in our browledge.

POSTSCRIPT (1914)

The editor of this series of autobiographical studies did not, so far as I know, consider the possibility that after a certain lapse of fine a sequel might be written to say of them; and it may be that such an event has occurred only in the present issuance. I am undertaking the mak since tay American publisher dealers to lapse the work in a new edition. It first appeared in America in 1907 (published by Brennano) under the title of An Autobiographical Sanly, but it was injudiciously brought out in the same volume as mother easily of mine which gave its title, The Problem of Lay-Analyses, to the whole book and so obscured the present work.

Two themes run through these pages: the story of my life and the labscory of psychoamlysis. They are instanately laterwoven. This Autobiographical Study shows how psycho-analysis came to be the whole content of my life and rightly assumes that no personal experiences of mine are of any lotterest in comparison to my relations with that science.

Shortly before I wrote this study II seemed as though my life would some be brought to an end by the recurrence of a malignant disease; but surgical skill saved me in 1931 and I was able to continue my life and my work, though no longer in freedom from pain. In the period of more than ten years that has passed since then, I have never coased my analytic work nor my waiting-as is proved by the completion of the rwelfth volume of the German edition of my collected works. But I myself find that e algaincent change has come about. Threads which in the course of my development had become intertangled have now begun to esparate; interests which I had acquired in the later part of my life have recoded, while the older and original ones become prominent once more. It is true that in this last decade I have carried out some important pieces of analytic work, such as the revision of the problem of anticty in my book Hanmang, Symptom and Augus (published in 1926) or the simple explanation of sexual 'fetishism' which I was able to make in 1927. Nevertheless it would be true to my that, since I put forward my hypothesis of the

existence of two kinds of instinct (Error and the death institut) and since I proposed a division of the mental personality into an ego. a super-ego, and an id (in 1925), I have made no further duchive contributions to psychoanalysis: what I have written on the subject gines then has been either unessential or would soon have been supplied by someons else. This circumstance is connected with an alteration in myself, with what might be described as a phase of regressive development. My inverest, after making a lifelong dissur through the natural sciences, medicine and psycho-sherepy, returned to the cultural problems which had fascinated me long before, when I was a youth scarcely old anough for thinking. At the very climan of my psychoanalytic work, in 1912, I had already attempted in Toson and Tabes to make use of the newly discovered findings of analysis in order to investigate the origins of religion and morality. I now carried this work a stage further in two later essays, The Fature of an Illusion (1907) and Cavilipation and its Discontinue (1930). Il permitted ever more clearly that the events of homen history, the interactions between luman nature, cultural development and the proclaimers of primarval experiences (the most prominent example of which is religion) are no more than a reflection of the dynamic condition between the ego, the id, and the super-ego, which psycho-analysis studies in the individual—are the very same processes repeated upon a wider stage. In The Flutre of an Elizabe I expressed an essentially magnitude valuation of religion. Later, I found a foremula which did better justice to it: while granting that its power liss in the truth which it contains, I showed that that truth was not a maserial but a historical truth.

These studies, which, though they originate in psycho-analysis, stretch far heyond it, have perhaps awakened more public sympathy than psycho-analysis itself. They may have played a part in creating the short-lived flusion that I was among the writers to whom a great ration like Germany was ready to listen. It was in 1929 that, with words no less pregnant than friendly, Thomas Mann, our of the acknowledged spokesteen of the German people, found a place for one in the history of modern thought. A little later my daughter Anna, acting as my promy, was given

a civic reception in the Rudaus at Frankforton-Main on the coussion of my being awarded the Goethe Prime for 1930. This was the climax of my life as a citizen. Soon afterwards the boundaries of our country servowed and the nation would know no more of us.

And here I may be allowed so brank off these autobiographical noses. The public has no claim to learn any score of my personal affairs—of my acraegies, my also pointments, and my successes. I have in any case been more open and frank in some of my writing ruch as The Insurances on of Dramus and The Psychopasishopy of Branydey Life) than people availty are who describe their lives for their consemporates or for posterity. I have had small thanks for it, and from my apparlance I cannot recommend anyone to follow my example.

I must said a few more words upon the history of psycho-mulysis during the last decade. There can no longer be any doubt that it will continue; it has proved its capacity to survive and to develop both as a branch of knowledge and as a therapeutic method. The number of its suppositors (organized into the International Psycho-Analytical Association)

has considerably incremed. In addition to the older local groups (in Vienne, Berlin, Budapert, London, Holland, Switzerland, and Russia), societies have since been formed in Paris and Calcutte, two in Japan, several in the United States, and quite recently one each in Jerusaless and South Africa and two in Sandinavia. Our of their own funds these local societies support (or are in process of forming) training institutes, in which instruction in the practice of psycho-analysis is given according to a uniform plan, and outperlant clinios in which experienced analysts as well as students give free treatment to patients of limited means. Every other year the members of the International Psycho-Analyzical Association hold a Congress at which scientific papers are read and questions of organization decided. The thirteenth of these congresses (which I myself can no longer attend) took place at Luceme in 1934. From a core of interests that are common to all members of the Association, their work radiates in many different directions. Some lay most stress upon clarifying and deepening our knowledge of psychology, while others are concerned with keeping in contact with

medicine and psychiatry. From the practical point of view, some analysts have set themselves the task of bringing about the recognition of psycho-analysis at the universities and in inclusion in the medical curriculum, whereas others are content to certain outside these institutions and maintain that psycho-analysis is no less important in the field of education than in that of medicine. It happens from time to time that an analytic worker may find himself isolated in an enempt to emphasize some single one of the findings or views of psychoanalysis at the expense of all the rest. Nevertheless, the whole impression is a setisfactory one-of serious scientific work carried on at a high level.



Dyterhatiquas. Mycho-aralytical library

- J. Address of Parket-Solids. By J. J. Peters, M.S. Sander Perform of Beneding Stream Ordersky. With a Performance Symposium of Manager Stream. In Proc. 18, 44.
 K. J. Pepinskatsjel, and the Wor Stream. By Dr. S. Private Stream of Natl Acad Street, Math. Str. Stream Grand Streich and Street
- June (Contin). Introduction by Product Found (Winsto). No. 16, 2. The Psycho-Ambjet Study of the Sendy. Ser J. C. Physiol. Dife. 10, 2016.
- Print Silter. 140, St. No. 6, Daywel St. Pharmer Printight. By Daywood Speed, M.D. 11.D. Sc.
- [6] S. Depart the Phones Principle. By Departs Street, M.D., L.D. S. He, E. Tarres to Annied Durche Annieds. By Depart Josep, M.D. 14.
- Ho. S. Comp Psychology and the Associate of the Hys. To Signated French, M.D., 14, 25.
- No. 7-14. Calledad Papers of Styrmed Front. From galaxys St. etc., Vol. 1. Early Papers. History of Papels-Analysis Moremont. 21s. Vol. 1. Caning Papers. Papers on Verlaines. 21s.
- Vol. 17. Case (Assertion). Steel Vol. IV. Property of Exemply Supplied Supplied Supplied States of Property of Exemply Supplied Supplied States of Property Supplied Supplied
- Analysis Top States Forward: 15th, 15th,
- No. 14. Salastal Program Street, Analysis, Str. Street, Markets, M.D. 184.
- He, Ld., Index Province Analysis and Additional Province Inc., 184.
- No. 14: Lades Psycho-Analysius, 1999-1939. By Suba Matema, M.D. 18a. No. 18, The Future of an Marine. By Supremet Press, M.D., 13-71. By.
- No. 16. The Manufact of Australia. Sp. S. Manag-Marth, Mail, Ph.D. 18a.
- No. 17. Cirilmatra and in Streemins. Sp. Symmet Count, M.D., 12.D., Sa. Sci.
- No. 16. The Psychology of Contins. Sp. 2. C. Fillight, B.Ss. WHIS 10.
- His 16. Minut's Pepting-Annipolytic Stadios. By Mountain Sells. 216.
- No. 24. On the Military. By House Liver, M.D. 214.
- He. 21. The Delant of Hamilton, My Payer Laborator. 184. 64. He. El. The Psychologists of Children. By Midsch Mich. 184.
- No. 23, Psychologick of the Hanness. By Halan Shateds. 10s. 54. No. 54. Nov. Introductory Landson on Psychologich. By Report
- Prod. R.D., LL.D. 10. M.
- No. 20, The Milde of the Spirite. By Class Miles. 18. No. 36, An Anna Canada Marke. By Classes Report. 10.





SALAR JUNG MUSEUM LIBRARY (Online) Seeker)

Unless the book is returned on or before

the due date given below, a fine of 6 nP.

will be charged for each day.

